



December 7, 2020

Mr. Jeff Gouveia  
Bear Valley Water District  
PO Box 5027  
Bear Valley, California 95223

**RE: *Bear Valley Water District – Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Report, WDRs Order No. 5-01-208 and R5-2005-0139.***

Dear Mr. Gouveia:

Please find an electronic copy of the Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Report as required by the revised Monitoring and Reporting Requirements of Order No. 5-01-208. Board staff have requested that all monitoring reports be submitted electronically and have a transmittal letter signed and dated by the discharger. Accordingly, please sign (and date) the attached form and re-attach to this report before emailing to the Regional Board by the **February 1<sup>st</sup>** deadline. The report should be emailed to [centralvalleysacramento@waterboards.ca.gov](mailto:centralvalleysacramento@waterboards.ca.gov).

Note that historical and third tri-annual 2020 groundwater monitoring data have been reviewed and analyzed in the preparation of this groundwater monitoring report.

Please contact me at your earliest convenience should you have any questions regarding the content of this report.

Sincerely,  
STANTEC

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Thomas W. Butler".

Thomas W. Butler PG, CEG, CHG  
Senior Hydrogeologist/Geochemist

Attachment – Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Report (e-copy)

**Monitoring Report Submittal Transmittal Form**

Attn: Ms. Mary Boyd  
Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board  
11020 Sun Center Drive #200  
Rancho Cordova, CA 95670-6114

Discharger: Bear Valley Water District  
Name of Facility: Bear Valley Wastewater Treatment and Disposal Facility  
WDRs Order Number: **5-01-208**  
County: Alpine County  
Regulator Program: Waste Discharge to Land (Non15)  
Unit: Compliance  
CIWQS Place ID: 209035

The Bear Valley Water District is hereby submitting to the Regional Water Quality Control Board (“RWQCB”) the following information:

**Check all that apply:**

- Annual Monitoring Report for the year \_\_\_\_\_
- 1<sup>st</sup> / 2<sup>nd</sup> **(circle one)** Semi-annual Monitoring Report for the year \_\_\_\_\_
- 1<sup>st</sup> / 2<sup>nd</sup> / **(circle one)** 3<sup>rd</sup> Tri-Annual Monitoring Report for the year of 2020
- Monthly Monitoring Report for the month of \_\_\_\_\_

During the monitoring period, there were / were not **(circle one)** violations of the WDR’S

1. The violations were:  
**See Attached Report**

2. The actions to correct the violations were:  
**See Attached Report**

**Certification Statement**

“I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.”

Signature: 

Phone: (209) 753-2112

Printed Name: Jeff Gouveia  
BVWD General Manager

Date: December 7, 2020

**Bear Valley Water District – Third  
Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater  
Monitoring Report**



Prepared for:  
Bear Valley Water District  
PO Box 5027  
Bear Valley, California 95223

Prepared by:  
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.  
1340 Treat Boulevard, Suite 300  
Walnut Creek, California 94597

December 7, 2020

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

## Table of Contents

<b>1.0</b>	<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>1.1</b>
<b>2.0</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>2.1</b>
2.1	INTRODUCTION .....	2.1
2.2	BACKGROUND .....	2.3
2.3	GEOLOGY .....	2.3
2.4	SOILS .....	2.3
2.4.1	Ridge Top .....	2.4
2.4.2	Ridge Side .....	2.4
2.4.3	Valley Floor.....	2.4
2.4.4	Field Observations.....	2.4
<b>3.0</b>	<b>GROUNDWATER REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS .....</b>	<b>3.1</b>
3.1	WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND BASIN PLAN REQUIREMENTS .....	3.1
3.2	ANTIDegradation POLICY .....	3.1
3.3	BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS .....	3.2
<b>4.0</b>	<b>GROUNDWATER MONITORING RESULTS .....</b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1	MONITORING SUMMARY .....	4.1
4.2	GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, GRADIENTS, AND FLOW DIRECTION.....	4.2
4.3	GROUNDWATER QUALITY .....	4.4
4.3.1	Compliance Monitoring Well MW-1 .....	4.5
4.3.2	Background Monitoring Well MW-2.....	4.5
4.3.3	Compliance Monitoring Well MW-3 .....	4.6
4.3.4	Compliance Monitoring Well MW-4 .....	4.6
4.3.5	Compliance Monitoring Well MW-5 .....	4.6
4.3.6	Compliance Monitoring Well MW-6 .....	4.7
<b>5.0</b>	<b>BACKGROUND GROUNDWATER QUALITY SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1	STATISTICAL ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION .....	5.1
5.2	OUTLIER ANALYSIS .....	5.1
5.3	NORMALITY TEST .....	5.2
5.4	SITE SPECIFIC GROUNDWATER LIMITATIONS.....	5.3
5.5	ANTI-DEGRADATION ASSESSMENT .....	5.4
<b>6.0</b>	<b>SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....</b>	<b>6.1</b>
<b>7.0</b>	<b>PROFESSIONAL SEALS AND CERTIFICATIONS.....</b>	<b>7.1</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Regional Board Interim Groundwater Limitations .....	3.3
Table 2	Groundwater Monitoring Requirements.....	4.1
Table 3	Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Quality Summary.....	4.2
Table 4	Groundwater Elevation Summary .....	4.3

**BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT**

Table 5 2020 Statistical Assessment of Background Groundwater Quality .....5.3  
Table 6 2020 Recommended Site-Specific Groundwater Limitations .....5.4  
Table 7 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Compliance Summary .....5.5

**LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1 Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map.....2.2  
Figure 2 Groundwater Elevation Time Series Chart.....4.3  
Figure 3 TDS Time Series Chart .....4.4  
Figure 4 Chloride Time Series Chart .....4.5

**LIST OF APPENDICES**

**APPENDIX A      GROUNDWATER MONITORING PROTOCOL**  
**APPENDIX B      THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 ANALYTICAL RESULTS AND FIELD LOGS**  
**APPENDIX C      HISTORICAL GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS AND QUALITY**

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Executive Summary  
December 7, 2020

## 1.0 Executive Summary

- Groundwater elevation monitoring during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 indicates flow that was roughly perpendicular to site topography and generally towards the northwest at a horizontal gradient ranging from 0.071 to 0.078;
- Groundwater quality monitoring indicates pH (MW-5), iron (MW-1, MW-4, and MW-6), and manganese (MW-1, MW-4, and MW-6) exceeded water quality goals for agricultural and/or potable use during the third tri-annual monitoring event. MW-2 and MW-5 did not contain sufficient water to allow sampling.
- Revised background statistics were computed, and the site-specific groundwater limitations updated as part of this Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Report. Of all the constituents assessed tri-annually in 2020, only manganese (MW-1 and MW-6) was present at concentrations that may be considered above water quality objectives, at statistically significant levels. Conditions that naturally favor iron and manganese mobilization are present in shallow groundwater in the area, including acidic soils and naturally low pH. Thus, these exceedances should not be considered as irrefutable proof that an impact do to wastewater disposal has occurred. The background statistics will again be updated as part of the Third Tri-Annual 2021 Groundwater Monitoring Report.
- Statistical analysis indicates that all of the remaining parameters assessed in 2020, including: nitrate, ammonia, pH, boron, chloride, sodium, iron, and total coliform were in compliance with site specific groundwater limitations, indicating further compliance with State’s Anti-Degradation Policy;
- Only one background well exists and thus computed 2020 background statistics could not reasonably account for natural special variations in water chemistry common in shallow groundwater systems. Furthermore, surface water from a nearby stream may influence (likely through dilution) groundwater quality due to its close proximity to the shallow background monitoring well; and,
- Lack of a groundwater monitoring network that adequately accounts for spatial variations in background groundwater quality remains the most significant monitoring deficiency at the wastewater treatment and disposal facility. Should additional information be required regarding spatial changes in background water chemistry additional background well should be installed.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Introduction and Background  
December 7, 2020

## 2.0 Introduction and Background

### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The Bear Valley Water District (District) provides sanitary sewer collection, treatment and disposal for approximately 600 residential and commercial connections in the Alpine County community of Bear Valley, including the Lake Alpine basin area and the Mt. Reba Ski Area. The District's service area is primarily north of State Highway 4 serving the developed private lands in the Bear Valley village area and US Forest Service campgrounds and special use permitted areas. The District wastewater treatment and disposal facility (WWTF) is regulated by the Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board (Regional Board) and the Regional Board's Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. R5-2005-0139 and Order No. 5-01-208 (WDRs). The WWTF is located south of Highway 4 and is shown in Figure 1.


The District's WDRs contain monitoring and reporting requirements, which include tri-annual monitoring of groundwater. This report presents groundwater monitoring data obtained during the third tri-annual monitoring event, which was conducted on October 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 and satisfies the Tri-Annual Groundwater Monitoring Report reporting requirements as specified in the District's Revised Monitoring and Reporting Program for WDR Order No. R5-2005-0139 (MRP). The revised MRP states that groundwater monitoring reports shall be submitted "by the 1<sup>st</sup> day of February, July, and September of each year", corresponding to combined *annual/third tri-annual, first tri-annual, and second tri-annual reporting* periods, respectively. It should be noted that these reporting periods do not correspond to climate and related environmental conditions that prohibit site access and well sampling during certain times of the year and therefore the actual report submittal may vary from that which is stipulated in the MRP.

Regional Board staff's recognition of these climate controls was memorialized in the *July 31, 2012* email correspondence. In summary, that correspondence stated that Regional Board staff will not recommend enforcement to the Executive Officer so long as the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> tri-annual monitoring reports are submitted by September 1<sup>st</sup> and November 1<sup>st</sup> of each year, respectively, instead of the dates currently required in the MRP. The 3<sup>rd</sup> tri-annual report will remain due by February 1<sup>st</sup>. Although Regional Board staff have informally agreed to extend tri-annual monitoring report due dates by not seeking enforcement (provided the 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> tri-annual reports are submitted by September 1<sup>st</sup> and November 1<sup>st</sup>, respectively), we further recommend that Regional Board staff formally memorialize these changes in the MRP at their earliest convenience, in order to assure further violations and potential related enforcement actions against the District do not occur.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Introduction and Background  
December 7, 2020

Figure 1 Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Elevation Contour Map





# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Introduction and Background  
December 7, 2020

## 2.2 BACKGROUND

A daily average influent flow of 0.069 million gallons per day (MGD) entered the District WWTF during the 2018 – 2019 water year, which was then treated in a series of aerated treatment ponds where the biodegradable constituents are consumed and/or sequestered. Effluent from the aerated ponds was then stored in a 76.4 MG reservoir (effluent storage pond) or applied directly to land (summer months only). During the summer months, the stored effluent may be disposed of through spray irrigation to approximately 120 acres of permitted land, which includes approximately 80 acres of leased land and approximately 40 acres of land authorized by a Special Use Permit from the US Forest Service. Of the 120 gross acres of land (leased and Special Use Permit), approximately 80 acres (40 of lease land and 40 acres from the Special Use Permit) are currently suitable and/or used for effluent disposal purposes. The leased disposal area and permitted US Forest Service land have been in service before the installation of the groundwater monitoring wells (approximately 25 years for the leased land) at the site.

Effluent disposal via spray irrigation involves the disbursement of the effluent through low impact sprinklers upon soils and vegetation within the disposal area. The water is allowed to percolate into the soil and evapotranspire into the atmosphere. The WDRs currently limit influent flow to 0.1 MGD (annual average basis) and limit application of wastewater to reasonable rates considering soil, climate and the irrigation management system.

## 2.3 GEOLOGY

The District's WWTF is located west of the Sierra crest along Bloods Creek, a tributary of the North Fork of the Stanislaus River. The elevations range from 7080 ft (msl) at the treatment pond to 7480 ft (msl) at the ballast pond on top of the ridge, east of the treatment and storage ponds. The geologic map for the Sacramento quadrangle (Wagner, Jennings, Bedrossian and Bortugno, 1981) indicates that Mesozoic granites underlie the area. This was confirmed by the presence of numerous granite outcrops in the meadows and at the base of the ridge. The map also shows traces of the Tertiary Mehrten Formation, described as an andesitic conglomerate, sandstone, and breccia. Although a competent outcrop of andesitic rock was not observed, the ridge does contain numerous andesitic fragments, produced by parent rock weathering. Just below the eastern side of the ridge crest are numerous large granite boulders, potentially representing glacial transport and deposition.

## 2.4 SOILS

The following soil descriptions are taken from the 1981 U.S. Forest Service soil survey of the Stanislaus National Forest. The descriptions are in agreement with field observations at the site and include the following:

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Introduction and Background  
December 7, 2020

## 2.4.1 Ridge Top

The soil along the southern end of the ridge top is classified as a lithic cryumbrept. This soil is described as a tan, moderately acid, loam about 5 inches thick, and containing no substantive subsoil. Rock content can range up to 60 percent from the substratum of fractured hard andesitic tuff or tuff-breccia. The soil has excessive drainage with moderately rapid permeability and a very high maximum erosion hazard. The soil supports basin sagebrush, mule's ear, perennial grasses, and scattered lodgepole pine.

## 2.4.2 Ridge Side

The soil along the disposal area, on the west side of the ridge, is classified as a gerle family generally found on 5 to 35 percent slopes. The surface soil is described as a dark gray, slightly acid, sandy loam, about 10 inches thick. The subsoil is described as a moderately acid, light brownish gray, sandy loam. The substratum is extremely stony (rock content can exceed 35%) consisting of glacial debris derived from granitic parent rocks. Additionally, the soil has excessive drainage, rapid permeability, and a moderate to high maximum erosion hazard, typically supporting mixed conifer forests.

## 2.4.3 Valley Floor

The valley floor soil, north of and below the treatment pond, is classified as an entic cryumbrept and described as a brown, moderately acid loam, sandy loam, and loamy sand, about 40 inches in thickness. The substratum is recent alluvium from granitic rocks and is well drained with moderately rapid to rapid permeability. It supports annual grasses, perennial grasses or sedge, and brush.

## 2.4.4 Field Observations

There is a good correlation between the topography of the disposal area and soil development and thickness. Mass wasting and in place weathering/deposition created a soil continuum that one can easily recognize and follow from the ridge top to the valley floor. Starting at the top of the ridge the soil is thin and scarcely present. What soil exists is very shallow, poorly developed, poorly sorted, contains no appreciable organic matter, and has a large percentage of andesitic rock fragments. The thickness of the soil increases as one moves down slope with more organic content being observed, correlating well with increased vegetation. Although the soil is still poorly sorted, it increasingly becomes more uniform towards a sandy loam with granitics composing more of the parent material. On the valley floor the soil contains organic material and is at its maximum development and thickness within the disposal area. The alluvial substratum is well-sorted sand with the parent material consisting of mostly granitic rock, with only a minor andesitic contribution. The granitic origin is marked by numerous small mica flakes, found within the soil profile.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Regulatory Requirements  
December 7, 2020

## 3.0 Groundwater Regulatory Requirements

Discharge at the Bear Valley Water District WWTF is subject to requirements contained in the wastewater permit (Waste Discharge Requirements, or WDRs), Standard Provisions and Reporting Requirements for Waste Discharge Requirements 1 March 1991, the Water Quality Control Plan for the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region and associated documents (Basin Plan). These requirements and policies are discussed below as they relate to discharges to land and the groundwater limitations at the WWTF.

### 3.1 WATER QUALITY OBJECTIVES AND BASIN PLAN REQUIREMENTS

The Central Valley Basin Plan contains water quality objectives for groundwater. These water quality objectives apply to all groundwater in the San Joaquin River Basin, though they do not require improvement over naturally occurring background concentrations. The groundwater objectives are:

- Bacteria – total coliform organisms shall be less than 2.2 MPN/100ml over any seven-day period.
- Groundwater shall not contain chemical constituents that adversely affect beneficial uses.
- At a minimum, groundwater designated for municipal use shall not contain chemical constituents in concentrations greater than the maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) contained in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations. To protect all beneficial uses, the Regional Board may apply limits more stringent than the MCLs.
- At a minimum, groundwater designated for municipal use shall not contain concentrations of radionuclides in excess of the MCLs contained in Title 22 of the California Code of Regulations.
- Groundwater shall not contain taste or odor constituents that cause nuisance or adversely affect beneficial uses.
- Groundwater shall be maintained free of toxic substances in concentrations that produce detrimental physiological response...

In conjunction with the Basin Plan groundwater objectives, the Regional Board has compiled water quality goals in the Regional Board staff report *A Compilation of Water Quality Goals*, updated in July of 2008. This report is intended to assist interpretation of the above narrative water quality objectives.

### 3.2 ANTIDegradation Policy

In 1968, the State Water Resources Control Board adopted Resolution No. 68-16, Statement of Policy with Respect to Maintaining High Quality of Waters in California, or the State

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Regulatory Requirements  
December 7, 2020

Antidegradation Policy. The Antidegradation policy requires that whenever the quality of waters is better than the water quality standards or water quality objectives, and a discharge does or reasonably has the potential to degrade the high quality water, then such degradation must:

- Not unreasonably affect beneficial uses, i.e., cause the water to exceed water quality standards or water quality objectives; and
- Be consistent with the best practicable treatment and control technology such that the highest water quality is maintained consistent with the maximum benefit to the people of the State.

The Antidegradation Policy applies to surface water and groundwater.

### 3.3 BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT WASTE DISCHARGE REQUIREMENTS

The current District WDRs (Order No. 5-01-208 section D) have groundwater limitations that state:

1. Release of waste constituents from any storage or treatment component associated with the WWTF shall not cause groundwater under and beyond the storage or treatment component, as determined by an approved monitoring network, to:
  - a. Contain any of the constituents (identified in Table 1) in concentrations greater than as listed or greater than background quality, whichever is greater.
  - b. Contain any constituent identified in Groundwater Limitation D.1.a in concentrations greater than background quality (whether chemical, physical, biological, bacteriological, radiological, or some other property of characteristic).
  - c. Exhibit a pH of less than 6.5 or greater than 8.5 pH Units.
  - d. Impart taste, odor, or color that creates nuisance or impairs any beneficial use.
2.
  - a. Release of waste constituents from any land disposal area associated with the WWTF shall not cause groundwater under and beyond the land disposal area to contain waste constituents in concentrations statistically greater than background water quality, except for coliform bacteria. For coliform bacteria, increases shall not cause the most probable number of total coliform organisms to exceed 2.2 MPN/100ml of any 7-day period.
  - b. If groundwater monitoring shows that waste constituents are present in concentrations greater than background, then upon the request of the Executive Officer, the Discharger shall complete the report described in Provision F.3.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Regulatory Requirements  
December 7, 2020

**Table 1 Regional Board Interim Groundwater Limitations**

Parameter	Units	Interim Limitation*
pH	Std. units	6.5 – 8.4**
Boron	mg/l	0.6
Chloride	mg/l	142
Iron	mg/l	0.3
Manganese	mg/l	0.05
Sodium	mg/l	69
Total Coliform Organisms	MPN/100ml	Non-Detect
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/l	450
Total Nitrogen	mg/l	10
Nitrite as N	mg/l	1
Nitrate as N	mg/l	10
Ammonia as N	mg/l	0.5

\* From Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 5-01-208

\*\*From a Compilation of Water Quality Goals, July 2008

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

## 4.0 Groundwater Monitoring Results

### 4.1 MONITORING SUMMARY

The third tri-annual groundwater monitoring event occurred on October 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 with sampling being performed by District staff and analytical activities being performed by Alpha Analytical Laboratories Inc. The sampling procedure utilized in monitoring the District's wells is included as Appendix A of this report for reference. Field measurements of depth to groundwater, electrical conductivity (EC), pH, and temperature were conducted in addition to the laboratory analysis of the parameters identified in Table 2 and according to the revised Monitoring and Reporting Program (MRP) No. 5-01-208, dated June 20, 2002. A summary of the third tri-annual water quality monitoring data is provided in Table 3. The field logs and laboratory results for the third tri-annual sampling event are included as Appendix B of this report.

**Table 2 Groundwater Monitoring Requirements**

Parameter	Units	Frequency <sup>1</sup>
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/l	3 times per year
Nitrate as Nitrogen	mg/l	3 times per year
pH	pH units	3 times per year
Total Coliform Organisms <sup>2</sup>	MPN/100ml	3 times per year
Ammonia	mg/l	3 times per year
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/l	3 times per year
General Minerals <sup>3</sup>	mg/l	1 time per year

1. Immediately after snowmelt, in the middle of the summer, and in the fall (shortly before wells become inaccessible due to snow cover.)
2. Method No. 9221E, using a minimum of three dilutions of 15 tubes.
3. General minerals include boron, chloride, iron, manganese, and sodium, collected during the fall.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

**Table 3 Third Tri-Annual 2020 Groundwater Quality Summary**

Parameter	MW-1	MW-2	MW-3	MW-4	MW-5	MW-6
Field pH	6.7	7.0	6.6	6.9	<b>6.2</b>	6.9
Field EC ( $\mu$ S/cm)	193	75.4	80	215	153	563
Temp. (C)	6.0	7.7	9.0	7.9	8.3	6.9
NO3-N (mg/L)	<0.2	IVS	<0.2	<0.2	IVS	<0.2
TKN (mg/L)	<1		<1	<1		<1
Ammonia as N	<0.2		<0.2	<0.2		<0.2
TDS (mg/L)	130		81	140		310
Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	<1.8		<1.8	<1.8		<1.8
B (mg/L)	<0.2		<0.2	<0.2		<0.2
Fe (mg/L)	<b>6.5</b>		<0.1	<b>3.1</b>		<b>3.1</b>
Mn (mg/L)	<b>0.87</b>		<0.02	<b>0.12</b>		<b>3.60</b>
Na (mg/L)	7.3		5.4	9.0		15
Cl (mg/L)	0.8		5.8	6.3		6.3

**Bold** data indicates and simple exceedance of a water quality goal, not to be confused with a statistically significant exceedances. IVS – Insufficient volume of water available to sample.

## 4.2 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, GRADIENTS, AND FLOW DIRECTION

Depth to groundwater was measured on October 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020 relative to the surveyed top north quadrant of the PVC well casing. Groundwater elevations were subsequently calculated for the third tri-annual monitoring event and summarized in Table 4 below. Table 4 also contains groundwater elevations from the three previous monitoring events and provides the computed change in elevation at each well (in parentheses) relative to the previous monitoring event, illustrating recent temporal variability in groundwater elevation at the WWTF. Calculated groundwater elevations for the third tri-annual 2020 monitoring event were utilized to construct a contour map (Figure 1), which was subsequently used to estimate both groundwater flow direction and horizontal gradient. Interpreted groundwater flow direction during the third tri-annual monitoring was found to be roughly perpendicular to site topography and generally towards the northwest at a horizontal gradient ranging from 0.071 to 0.078 (Figure 1). Historical groundwater elevations are provided as Appendix C, while a time series plot for computed groundwater elevations is provided as Figure 2, for further reference.


# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

**Table 4 Groundwater Elevation Summary**

Monitoring Well	Reference Point Elevation (ft, NAVD88)	Groundwater Elevation (feet , NAVD88)			
		Third 2019	First 2020	Second 2020	Third 2020
MW-1	7114.08	7103.75 (-0.92)	7105.78 (+2.03)	7105.30 (-0.48)	7101.92 (-3.38)
MW-2	7067.53	7060.90 (-0.41)	7066.03 (+5.13)	7062.35 (-3.68)	7057.85 (-4.50)
MW-3	7056.37	7052.36 (-1.10)	7056.17 (+3.81)	7053.26 (-2.91)	7050.47 (-2.79)
MW-4	7054.79	7051.64 (0.00)	7052.99 (+1.35)	7051.66 (-1.33)	7050.72 (-0.94)
MW-5	7203.78	7190.37 (-0.80)	7192.10 (+1.73)	7191.15 (-0.95)	7189.67 (-1.48)
MW-6	7059.49	7053.48 (-1.26)	7056.93 (+3.45)	7054.82 (-2.11)	7052.61 (-2.21)

**Figure 2 Groundwater Elevation Time Series Chart**






# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

## 4.3 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

Groundwater samples for the third tri-annual monitoring event were collected on October 14<sup>th</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup>, 2020. A summary of the lab and field results for this monitoring event are provided above in Table 3, while historical groundwater quality data are provided in Appendix C for further reference. Historical and third tri-annual data were compiled in time series plots for TDS (Figure 3) and chloride (Figure 4) to illustrate temporal variations in groundwater salinity at the site.


Figure 3 TDS Time Series Chart



# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

Figure 4 Chloride Time Series Chart



## 4.3.1 Compliance Monitoring Well MW-1

Monitoring well MW-1 is generally located hydrogeologically down gradient of wastewater disposal operations and hydrogeologically up gradient of the eastern portion of the treatment pond (Figure 1). Field pH, field EC, and laboratory determined TDS measured during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 6.7, 193  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , and 130 mg/l, respectively. Nitrate, TKN, and ammonia were not detected above their respective laboratory reporting limits, while iron and manganese were detected at concentrations of 6.5 and 0.87 mg/l, respectively. Furthermore, total coliform organisms were not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 1.8 MPN/100ml.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

## 4.3.2 Background Monitoring Well MW-2

Monitoring well MW-2 is located hydrogeologically up gradient of the disposal areas and serves as the background monitoring well for the WWTF (Figure 1). Field pH and field EC measured

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 7.0 and 75.4  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , respectively. Note that the well purged dry prior to sampling for laboratory constituents and thus those parameters were not reported.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

## 4.3.3 Compliance Monitoring Well MW-3

Monitoring well MW-3 is located hydrogeologically down gradient of wastewater disposal operations, near the northwestern portion of the WWTF property (Figure 1). Field pH, field EC, and laboratory determined TDS measured during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 6.6, 80  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , and 81 mg/l, respectively. Nitrate, TKN, and ammonia were not detected above their respective laboratory reporting limits, while iron and manganese were not detected. Furthermore, total coliform organisms were not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 1.8 MPN/100ml.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

## 4.3.4 Compliance Monitoring Well MW-4

Monitoring well MW-4 is located hydrogeologically down gradient of wastewater disposal operations and the wastewater treatment pond, near the northwestern portion of the WWTF property (Figure 1). Field pH, field EC, and laboratory determined TDS measured during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 6.9, 215  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , and 140 mg/l, respectively. Nitrate, TKN, and ammonia were not detected above their respective laboratory reporting limits, while iron and manganese were detected at concentrations of 3.1 and 0.12 mg/l, respectively. Furthermore, total coliform organisms were not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 1.8 MPN/100ml.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

## 4.3.5 Compliance Monitoring Well MW-5

Monitoring well MW-5 is located hydrogeologically down gradient of wastewater disposal operations, near the south-central portion of the WWTF property (Figure 1). Field pH and field EC measured during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 6.2 and 153  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , respectively. There was insufficient water available to collect samples for laboratory analysis.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Groundwater Monitoring Results  
December 7, 2020

## 4.3.6 Compliance Monitoring Well MW-6

Monitoring well MW-6 is located hydrogeologically down to cross gradient of wastewater disposal operations and down gradient/adjacent to the effluent storage pond, near the southwestern portion of the WWTF property (Figure 1). Field pH, field EC, and laboratory determined TDS measured during the third tri-annual monitoring event were reported at values of 6.9, 563  $\mu\text{S}/\text{cm}$ , and 310 mg/l, respectively. Nitrate, TKN, and ammonia were not detected above their respective laboratory reporting limits, while iron and manganese were detected at concentrations of 3.1 and 3.6 mg/l, respectively. Furthermore, total coliform organisms were not detected above the laboratory reporting limit of 1.8 MPN/100ml.

Additional parameters monitored during the third tri-annual monitoring event of 2020 are summarized in Table 3 for reference.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

## 5.0 Background Groundwater Quality Summary

### 5.1 STATISTICAL ANALYSIS INTRODUCTION

On behalf of the District, ECO:LOGIC Engineering (now Stantec) submitted a Groundwater Characterization Report (GCR), in *January 2005*. This report was submitted in accordance with the District's WDRs and the Regional Board's *July 8, 2004 Technical Report Review and Comments* letter requesting a statistical determination of background groundwater quality, pursuant to Title 27, Section 20415(e)(10) of the California Code of Regulations. The report compared actual COPC concentration at each of the compliance wells to both the Regional Board's Interim Groundwater Limitations and calculated background COPC using the 95% Confidence Limit (CL). As part of the 2006 Annual Report, the statistical assessment was revised via an alternative methodology utilizing the 99% upper prediction limit (UPL) for parametrically distributed data, combined with alternative tests for non-parametric data. The background groundwater quality assessment has been updated annually since 2006. The analysis provided below represents the most current update to the statistical assessment of background groundwater quality, utilizing data collected through the *third* tri-annual monitoring event of 2020.

The following provides a summary of the assumptions used to compute the 99% UPL of background groundwater quality:

- Statistical analysis performed annually;
- Statistical test performed for the parameters TDS, nitrate, ammonia, pH, total coliform, boron, chloride, iron, sodium, and manganese;
- Data collected during the year of 2003 and earlier were not assessed due in part to several factors including the influence of well drilling activities and lack of filtration for metals. All data following 2003 were included in the statistical analysis;
- A pass 1 of 3 re-sampling strategy was employed; and,
- Maximum reported value, not reflective of an unreasonable anomaly, was used to represent background groundwater quality for non-parametric data.

### 5.2 OUTLIER ANALYSIS

Prior to the evaluation of background groundwater quality, all background data (MW-2) were reviewed using Dixon's test (where n is between 3 and 25) or Rosner's test (for n > 25) for statistically significant outliers at the 99% confidence limit. The following provides a summary of the identified outliers and any actions taken.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

**Field pH:** No outliers identified.

**TDS:** One statistical outlier was identified during the 7/7/09 monitoring event. The results are anomalously high and do not correspond with the reported EC values, suggesting a laboratory error. The reported outlier was subsequently removed.

**Nitrate as N:** Four outliers were identified and were reviewed and found to be close or at the reporting limit. The outliers were thus determined to be reasonable and subsequently retained for further analysis.

**Ammonias as N:** Ten outliers were identified and were reviewed and found to be close to, or, the reporting limit. The outliers were thus determined to be reasonable and subsequently retained for further analysis.

**Total Coliform:** Four outliers were identified and during the 7/8/08, 10/26/09, 11/4/10, and 8/24/17 monitoring events. The outliers were reviewed, determined to be representative of the range of detected values, and thus retained for further analysis.

**Boron:** One outlier was identified and found to be at an alternative reporting limit. The outlier was retained for further analysis.

**Chloride:** Two statistical outlier were identified and during the 9/18/08 and 10/9/2012 monitoring events. These data were reviewed and no anthropogenic cause could be attributed to the anomalies. Accordingly, they were retained for further analysis.

**Iron:** One outlier was identified; however no anthropogenic cause could be attributed to its detection. Accordingly, the outlier was retained for further analysis

**Sodium:** Two statistical outliers were identified and during 9/18/08 and 10/9/12 monitoring events. These outliers were reviewed and no anthropogenic cause could be attributed to the anomalies. Accordingly, they were retained for further analysis.

**Manganese:** Eight statistical outliers were identified during the 10/13/04, 8/29/07, 7/21/11, 10/9/12, 8/21/13, 10/14/14, 7/13/17, and 10/2/19 monitoring events. These outliers were reviewed and no anthropogenic cause could be attributed to the anomalies. Accordingly, they were retained for further analysis.

## 5.3 NORMALITY TEST

Following the outlier analysis a normality test was performed using Shapiro-Wilks Test at the 99% level of confidence. If the background monitoring data were normally distributed, or could be made normal through an appropriate transformation, parametric tests were applied. Alternatively, if the data were found to be non-parametrically distributed, non-parametric statistical tests were used. Following the initial data review, as summarized above, 99%

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

background UPLs were computed, based on inclusion of the 2020 monitoring data the results of which are summarized in Table 5.

**Table 5 2020 Statistical Assessment of Background Groundwater Quality**

COPC	Background 99% UPL	Data Distribution/Method	Data Points
TDS (mg/l)	121	Parametric UPL (Square Root Transformed)	48
Nitrate as N (mg/l)	0.5	Non-Parametric UPL	49
Ammonia as N (mg/l)	1	Non-Parametric UPL	49
pH	5.7 – 7.2	Parametric UPL	50
Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	<b>2200</b>	Non-Parametric UPL	49
Boron (mg/l)	0.2	Non-Parametric UPL	41
Chloride (mg/l)	2.5	Parametric UPL (Natural Log Transformed)	41
Iron (mg/l)	<b>16</b>	Non-Parametric UPL	43
Sodium (mg/l)	8.6	Non-Parametric UPL	41
Manganese (mg/l)	<b>0.22</b>	Non-Parametric UPL	43

**Bold** data indicate an exceedance of the Regional Board's Interim Groundwater Limitations

## 5.4 SITE SPECIFIC GROUNDWATER LIMITATIONS

For COPC's where the background 99% UPL or non-parametric statistics are greater than the Regional Board's Interim Groundwater Limitation, the background statistic should be used for facility compliance. Of the COPCs analyzed, computed background (MW-2) statistics for iron, manganese, and total coliform exceeded the Regional Board's Interim Groundwater Limitations of 0.3 mg/l, 0.05 mg/l, and non-detect, respectively. Furthermore, background pH values were statistically lower than the lower limit of the groundwater goal of 6.5. Conversely, where an Interim Groundwater Limitation is greater than the background statistic, the Interim Groundwater Limitation should be used to assess facility compliance, as was the case for all the remaining parameters, provided the facility is implementing best practicable treatment and control measures for the constituent of potential concern. It should be noted however, that the WDR Interim Groundwater Limitations for boron and chloride are inconsistent with agricultural water quality goals and were revised accordingly. Table 6 presents the recommended site specific groundwater limitations for the facility.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

**Table 6 2020 Recommended Site-Specific Groundwater Limitations**

COPC	Site Specific Groundwater Limitation	Basis for Limitation	Compliance Assessment Methodology
TDS (mg/l)	450	Agricultural Water Quality Goal	99% LCL
Nitrate as N (mg/l)	10	Primary Maximum Contaminant Level	Not to exceed
Ammonia as N (mg/l)	1.5	Taste and Odor Threshold	99% LCL
pH	<b>5.7 – 8.4</b>	STAT Parametric UPL/Agricultural Water Quality Goal	Pass 1 of 3/ 99% LCL
Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	<b>2200</b>	STAT Non-Parametric UPL	Not to exceed
Boron (mg/l)	0.7	Agricultural Water Quality Goal	99% LCL
Chloride (mg/l)	106	Agricultural Water Quality Goal	99% LCL
Iron (mg/l)	<b>16</b>	STAT Non-Parametric UPL	Not to exceed
Sodium (mg/l)	69	Agricultural Water Quality Goal	99% LCL
Manganese (mg/l)	<b>0.22</b>	STAT Non-Parametric UPL	Not to exceed

**Bold** data indicate an exceedance of the Regional Board's Interim Groundwater Limitations

## 5.5 ANTI-DEGRADATION ASSESSMENT

In evaluating facility compliance, the UPL methodology is not appropriate for statistically assessing compliance with water quality goals based on MCLs or agricultural limitations (such as those used in determining Interim Groundwater Limitations) because many of these goals are based on long term averages of water quality. Accordingly, the 99% lower confidence interval (LCL) about the mean is recommended (99% LCL for two-tailed test for pH) and is appropriate for assessing compliance with the parameters TDS, ammonia, upper pH, boron, chloride, and sodium, which were based on unrestricted agricultural use or taste and odor thresholds. However, where a parametric 99% UPL serves as the site specific groundwater limitation, the pass 1 of 3 re-sampling should be used to assess compliance (that is if one sample of the past three is less than the limitation, no statistically significant impact is noted). Alternatively, for non-parametric tests, a simple exceedance of the site specific groundwater limitation may indicate a statistically significant impact. Table 7 summarizes the results of the compliance assessment.



# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

**Table 7 2020 Groundwater Monitoring Compliance Summary**

COPC	Site Specific Groundwater Limitation	Compliance Assessment Methodology	2020 Statistically Significant Exceedance
TDS (mg/l)	450	99% LCL	None
Nitrate as N (mg/l)	10	Not to Exceed	None
Ammonia as N (mg/l)	1.5	99% LCL	None
pH	5.7 – 8.4	Pass 1 of 3/ 99% LCL	None
Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	<b>2200</b>	Not to Exceed	None
Boron (mg/l)	0.7	99% LCL	None
Chloride (mg/l)	106	99% LCL	None
Iron (mg/l)	<b>16</b>	Not to Exceed	None
Sodium (mg/l)	69	99% LCL	None
Manganese (mg/l)	<b>0.22</b>	Not to Exceed	MW-1 and MW-6

Of the parameters assessed, only manganese was detected in groundwater at levels that statistically exceed site specific groundwater limitations during 2020. The exceedances occurred at monitoring wells MW-1 and MW-6. Both iron and manganese are elements that forms pH and redox sensitive minerals in the subsurface, which can become mobile under reducing conditions and in groundwater with low pH, both of which are not uncommon in alpine groundwater environments. For instance, the dilute nature and lack of buffering capacity of alpine groundwater (primarily snowmelt) and presences of acidic surface soils bode well for low pH groundwater, a condition that naturally favors manganese mobilization. Coliform another parameter that is sometimes detected is ubiquitous on the surface of the earth and can be present in groundwater where a conduit, such as a fracture connected to the surface, exists. Furthermore, coliform, a parameter that is ambiguous in the surface environment, can be introduced during sampling from contaminated equipment, introduced water, or windblown sediment/bacteria colonies. Therefore, the presence of iron, manganese, or coliform in groundwater should not in of themselves be considered irrefutable proof of wastewater impacts.

Caution should also be exercised when evaluating computed “background” groundwater values to that of down gradient monitoring locations as the computed background statistics only consider one datum (MW-2) and thus, does not account for natural spatial variations in groundwater quality in the area. Spatial variability of the quality of shallow groundwater is more the norm than the exception and can be attributed to a host of issues including, but not limited to, soil column thickness, soil composition, bedrock composition, grain size distribution, organic matter content, groundwater elevation, acidity/alkalinity, land use, and redox potential. As such quantitative interpretation or comparison of groundwater data collected at “down gradient” monitoring locations to only one background location for the purpose of assessing facility compliance is not recommended. The computed background statistics and site specific

## BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Background Groundwater Quality Summary  
December 7, 2020

groundwater goals should thus be used only to identify areas which *may have* been impacted with current or historic wastewater disposal practices. If improved background statistics are required, additional monitoring wells should be installed at locations up and cross gradient of the waste discharge.

All of the parameters assessed, with the potential exception of manganese, were in compliance with the site-specific groundwater limitations, indicating further compliance with regards to the State's Anti-Degradation Policy.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Summary and Conclusions  
December 7, 2020

## 6.0 Summary and Conclusions

Groundwater was assessed during the third tri-annual monitoring event, pursuant to the District's WDRs and MRP, issued by the Regional Board. During the third tri-annual monitoring event, reported water quality values for the following constituents exceeded water quality goals for agricultural and/or potable use at the locations indicated, including:

- pH (below the lower limit): MW-5;
- Iron: MW-1, MW-4, and MW-6; and,
- Manganese: MW-1, MW-4, and MW-6.

A revised 2020 annual statistical analysis indicates statistically significant exceedances of site-specific groundwater limitations occurred for only manganese and at MW-1 and MW-6. Dissolved manganese (and other redox sensitive parameters such as iron) are both commonly spatially transient and can be influenced by variables other than the disposal of effluent. It should be noted that the current groundwater monitoring network contains only one background monitoring well (MW-2) making it impossible to incorporate potential spatial variations into the background statistics. Accordingly, a statistically significant impact should not be considered irrefutable proof that the impact originated as a result of the discharge. Regardless, a revised statistical assessment will be conducted as part of the Third Tri-Annual 2021 Groundwater Monitoring Report, which will also include a revised assessment of background groundwater quality.

# BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT

Professional Seals and Certifications  
December 7, 2020

## 7.0 Professional Seals and Certifications

I certify under penalty of law that I have personally examined and am familiar with the information submitted in this document and all attachments and that, based on my inquiry of those individuals immediately responsible for obtaining the information, I believe that the information is true, accurate, and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment.



Thomas W. Butler, PG, CHG, CEG  
Senior Hydrogeologist/Geochemist

# **BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT**

Appendix A Groundwater Monitoring Protocol  
December 7, 2020

## **Appendix A Groundwater Monitoring Protocol**

**Bear Valley Water District**  
**Groundwater Monitoring Well Sampling Procedures**

- 1) The covers of the monitoring wells were opened and loose material cleared from the edged. A propane torch was used to briefly burn the frame of the cover and any debris inside the box and around the well casing (i.e., eliminating potential contamination of samples from ants). The wells are 2-in PVC approximately 13.5 to 23.5 feet deep with the lower 10 to 15 feet screened. The compression cap was removed and placed top down on the well cover.
  
- 2) Water surface depth was measured to within 0.01 feet by lowering an electronic tape into the well while passing it through a cloth soaked in hypochlorite solution (the tape was cleaned and disinfected in the lab prior to bringing it to the field). The water depth was measured relative the top of the north quadrant of the PVC well casing. More than three well volumes were purged from the wells until pH, EC and temperature stabilized. The volume to purge was calculated based on the well casing diameter (area) times the water column height (well depth from well logs minus depth to water surface times three. no annular space estimate was included).
  
- 3) Dedicated 12V submersible plastic pumps (ES 60) with a vinyl discharge hose were used for purging and sampling the wells. The pump, hose and cord were decontaminated prior to transport to the field in deionized (D.I.) water plus detergent, and then rinsed three times in D.I. water (running the pump during each to flush water through the impeller and hose) and finally the pump and appurtenances were placed in a dilute hypochlorite solution (running the pump to flush the solution through the impeller and hose). The pump and hose were removed from sealed bins and lowered into the well, avoiding pump or hose touching the cover frame, ground etc. The technician used rubber gloves during sampling and changed them each time anything "dirty" was touched. New gloves were rinsed in chlorine solution prior to handling equipment.
  
- 4) After priming and pumping a small amount of water through the hose (to remove and remaining liquid in the hose), the discharge rate was measured, by measuring the time to fill a one-gallon container. This time was then multiplied by the well purge volume as calculated in step 2) above. The time to purge three volumes was rounded up by approximately 5 minutes.
  
- 5) The pump was started and time recorded while it discharged. Approximately every three minutes a roughly 200 ml sample was collected in a glass container from the discharge pump hose and pH, EC and Temperature were measured with a multimeter. All wells stabilized with regards to pH EC, and Temperature.
  
- 6) Prelabelled sample bottles, were introduced into the discharge stream of the pump after pumping 3-well volumes and stabilized pH, EC and Temperature. These were sealed and placed in an ice chest on ice for shipment to the lab.

7) The pump was shut off and all equipment was removed, the well cap was rinsed with dilute chlorine solution and replaced and the well cover replaced on the well.

8) After measurement, the measuring tape was rolled onto the reel while it was wiped.

# **BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT**

Appendix B Third Tri-Annual 2020 Analytical Results and Field Logs  
December 7, 2020

## **Appendix B Third Tri-Annual 2020 Analytical Results and Field Logs**



# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-15-20

Tech. Name: West

Well No: 1

Reference Point: 75 yds below Grassy Road

Total Well Depth (TWD): 27.29 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 12.16 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 15.13 ft.

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

<u>15.13</u>	x	<u>0.17</u>	=	<u>2.57</u>		<u>3</u>	x	<u>3</u>	=	<u>9</u>	gals
Water column		Gal per linear Ft.		1 purge volume		Purge vol. rounded up to nearest .5		# of casing volumes		Total Purge Volume	

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
0820	0	6.49	729	9.5°c	clear	clear	none	no
0823	3	6.71	200	6.6°c	clear	clear	none	no
0826	3	6.67	176.5	6.3°c	clear	clear	none	no
0829	3	6.68	193.2	6.0°c	Trace	cloudy	none	no
PURGING DATA: (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-14-20

Tech. Name: west

Well No: **2**

Reference Point: Northmost Orvis Meadow

Total Well Depth (TWD): 17.90 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 9.68 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 8.22 ft.

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

<u>8.22</u> x <b>0.17</b> = <u>1.39</u>	<u>1.5</u> x <b>3</b> = <u>4.5</u> gals	
Water column Gal per linear Ft. 1 purge volume	Purge vol, rounded up to nearest .5 # of casing volumes	Total Purge Volume

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
0824	<b>0</b>	6.90	98.6	8.5°C	trace	cloudy	none	no
0828		6.97	75.4	7.7°C	trace	cloudy	none	yes
<b>PURGING DATA:</b> (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-14-20

Tech. Name: west

Well No: **3**

Reference Point: Middle Orvis Meadow

Total Well Depth (TWD): 13.56 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 5.90 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 7.66 ft.

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

Casing Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Water Column Height x CV

Purge Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Casing Volume x 3 (volumes required)

Purge Rate: \_\_\_\_\_ gal/min

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

<u>7.66</u>	x	<u>0.17</u>	=	<u>1.30</u>		<u>1.5</u>	x	<u>3</u>	=	<u>4.5</u> gals
Water column		Gal per linear Ft.		1 purge volume		Purge vol, rounded up to nearest .5		# of casing volumes		Total Purge Volume

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
0842	0	6.77	82.2	10.4°C	clear	clear	none	no
0845	1.5	6.77	80.6	9.3°C	clear	clear	none	no
0847	1.5	6.63	81.0	9.1°C	clear	clear	none	no
0849	1.5	6.58	80.3	9.0°C	clear	clear	none	no
<b>PURGING DATA:</b> (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-14-20

Tech. Name: West

Well No: 4

Reference Point: Orvis Meadow Below EH

Total Well Depth (TWD): 17.10 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 4.07 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 13.03 ft.

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

Casing Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Water Column Height x CV

Purge Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Casing Volume x 3 (volumes required)

Purge Rate: \_\_\_\_\_ gal/min

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

<u>13.03</u> x <u>0.17</u> = <u>2.21</u>	<u>2.5</u> x <u>3</u> = <u>7.5</u> gals
Water column Gal per linear Ft. 1 purge volume	Purge vol, rounded up to nearest .5 # of casing volumes Total Purge Volume

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
0758	0	6.91	296	8.1°C	Clear	Clear	none	NO
0800	2.5	6.95	226	8.3°C	Clear	Clear	none	NO
0803	2.5	6.91	214	7.9°C	Clear	Clear	none	NO
0805	2.5	6.94	215	7.9°C	Clear	Clear	none	NO
<b>PURGING DATA:</b> (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-15-20

Tech. Name: west

Well No: **5**

Reference Point: FS land below Green Machine

Total Well Depth (TWD): 20.19 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 14.11 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 6.08 ft.

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

Casing Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Water Column Height x CV

Purge Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Casing Volume x 3 (volumes required)

Purge Rate: \_\_\_\_\_ gal/min

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

<u>6.08</u>	x	<u>0.17</u>	=	<u>1.03</u>		<u>1.5</u>	x	<u>3</u>	=	<u>4.5</u> gals
Water column		Gal per linear Ft.		1 purge volume		Purge vol, rounded up to nearest .5		# of casing volumes		Total Purge Volume

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
<u>0808</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>6.24</u>	<u>152.9</u>	<u>8.3°c</u>	<u>clear</u>	<u>clear</u>	<u>none</u>	<u>yes</u>
<b>PURGING DATA:</b> (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

# BVWD District Groundwater Monitoring Field Data Sheet

Date: 10-15-20

Tech. Name: west

Well No: **6**

Reference Point: Below South dam of PR

Total Well Depth (TWD): 22.59 ft.

Depth to Water (WD): 6.88 ft.

Casing Diameter: 2 in.

Water Column Height (TWD - WD): 15.71 ft.

Well Diameter (In.)	Conversion Factor (CV) gal/ft	Rounded Up
2"	0.163	0.17

Casing Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Water Column Height x CV

Purge Volume: \_\_\_\_\_ gal = Casing Volume x 3 (volumes required)

Purge Rate: \_\_\_\_\_ gal/min

Purge/Sampling Method: Pump / Grab

<u>15.71</u>	x	<u>0.17</u>	=	<u>2.67</u>		<u>3.00</u>	x	<u>3</u>	=	<u>9</u> gals
Water column		Gal per linear Ft.		1 purge volume		Purge vol, rounded up to nearest .5		# of casing volumes		Total Purge Volume

Time	Volume Purged (gal)	pH (SU)	EC (µS/cm)	Temp (°C)	Turbidity	Color	Odor	Pumped Dry
0843	0	6.71	477	8.6°C	Clear	Clear	none	no
0845	3	6.70	567	7.5°C	Clear	Clear	none	no
0846	3	6.80	584	7.1°C	Clear	Clear	none	no
0849	3	6.87	563	6.9°C	Cloudy	Cloudy	none	no
					Trace			
<b>PURGING DATA:</b> (For 0 gallons purge just enough water to record pH, EC, and temperature)					Clear, trace, light, moderate, heavy	Clear, cloudy, yellow, brown	None, faint, moderate, strong	Yes/No

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_



*Alpha*

Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)

Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

22 October 2020

Bear Valley Water District

Attn: Guy West

P O Box 5027

Bear Valley, CA 95223

RE: Water Quality

Work Order: 20J2046

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 10/14/20 22:45. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeanette L. Poplin For Karen L. Lantz

Project Manager



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: [none]

Reported:  
10/22/20 13:21

Bay Area: 262 Rickenbacker Circle | Livermore, CA 94551 | T: 925-828-6226 | F: 925-828-6309 | ELAP# 2728  
Central Valley: 9090 Union Park Way Suite 113 | Elk Grove, CA 95624 | T: 916-686-5190 | F: 916-686-5192 | ELAP# 2922  
North Bay: 110 Liberty Street | Petaluma, CA 94952 | T: 707-769-3128 | F: 707-769-8093 | ELAP# 2303  
San Diego: 2722 Loker Avenue West Suite A | Carlsbad, CA 92010 | T: 760-930-2555 | F: 760-930-2510 | ELAP# 3055

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
Well #3	20J2046-01	Water	10/14/20 08:49	10/14/20 22:45
Well #4	20J2046-02	Water	10/14/20 08:05	10/14/20 22:45





Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
 Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District P O Box 5027 Bear Valley, CA 95223	Project Manager: Guy West Project: Water Quality Project Number: [none]	Reported: 10/22/20 13:21
---	---	-----------------------------

	Result	Reporting Limit	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	ELAP#	Method	Note
<b>Well #3 (20J2046-01)</b>		<b>Sample Type: Water</b>			<b>Sampled: 10/14/20 08:49</b>				
<b>Conventional Chemistry Parameters by APHA/EPA Methods</b>									
Ammonia as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04026	10/20/20 10:00	10/20/20 16:00	1551	SM4500NH3B,C	
<b>Total Dissolved Solids</b>	<b>81 mg/L</b>	<b>10</b>	1	AJ04167	10/20/20 09:55	10/21/20 13:54	2922	SM2540C	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	ND mg/L	1.0	1	AJ03942	10/19/20 07:00	10/20/20 12:14	1551	SM4500-Norg B	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Nitrate as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ03931	10/14/20 19:52	10/14/20 19:52	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Microbiological Parameters by APHA Standard Methods</b>									
Total Coliforms	ND MPN/100mL	1.8	1	AJ03960	10/14/20 15:00	10/18/20 13:20	2922	SM9221B,C	
<b>Well #4 (20J2046-02)</b>		<b>Sample Type: Water</b>			<b>Sampled: 10/14/20 08:05</b>				
<b>Conventional Chemistry Parameters by APHA/EPA Methods</b>									
Ammonia as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04026	10/20/20 10:00	10/20/20 16:00	1551	SM4500NH3B,C	
<b>Total Dissolved Solids</b>	<b>140 mg/L</b>	<b>10</b>	1	AJ04167	10/20/20 09:55	10/21/20 13:54	2922	SM2540C	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	ND mg/L	1.0	1	AJ03942	10/19/20 07:00	10/20/20 12:14	1551	SM4500-Norg B	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Nitrate as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ03931	10/14/20 20:12	10/14/20 20:12	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Microbiological Parameters by APHA Standard Methods</b>									
Total Coliforms	ND MPN/100mL	1.8	1	AJ03960	10/14/20 15:00	10/18/20 13:20	2922	SM9221B,C	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: [none]

Reported:  
10/22/20 13:21

**Notes and Definitions**

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit  
dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis  
RPD Relative Percent Difference

# Chain of Custody - Work Order

Reports and Invoices delivered by email in PDF format

Lab No 2052046 Pg      of     

Report to		Invoice to (if different)		Project Information		Analysis Request		TAT		Temp upon Receipt °C	
Company: Bear Valley Water District		Contact: Attn:		Project ID: MW I		Standard 10 days		Standard 10 days		Ukiah temp: 23	
Address: PO Box 5027 Bear Valley, CA 95223		Email address: Address:		Project No:		Standard 5 days X 48 hours		Lab preapproval required		Dublin temp:	
Phone/Fax: 209-753-2112		Phone/Fax:		PO Number:		Other: ____days		Elk Grove temp: 42			
Email Address:		Internal Lab Use: 569									
Field Sampler - Printed Name & Signature: <i>Guy West</i>		Container		Preservative		Matrix		Sample Notes or CDPH Source Numbers:			
Date		40m Vial		HCl		Soil					
Time		Poly		HNO3		Water WW					
Well #1		X		H2SO4		None					
Well #2		X		Na2S2O3		X					
Well #3		X		X		X					
Well #4		X		X		X					
Well #5		X		X		X					
Well #6		X		X		X					
Sample Identification		Sleeve		Other		Other					
Date		Glass		Other							
Time		40m Vial									
Well #1		X									
Well #2		X									
Well #3		X									
Well #4		X									
Well #5		X									
Well #6		X									
Total Number of Containers per Sample ID		CV - TC 15 WW		CV - N03-N, TDS		NH3-N, TKN					
Date		10/14/20		10/14/20		10/14/20					
Time		08:49		08:49		08:49					
Well #1		X		X		X					
Well #2		X		X		X					
Well #3		X		X		X					
Well #4		X		X		X					
Well #5		X		X		X					
Well #6		X		X		X					
Relinquished by		Received by		Date		Time		CDPH Write On EDT Transmission?		Yes No	
<i>G West</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>		10/14/20		10:55		State System Number:			
<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>		10/14/20		14:10		If "Y" please enter the Source Number(s) in the column above			
<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>		10/14/20		15:26		Mail Hardcopy to DDW-?		Yes No	
<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>		10/14/20		19:05		Hardcopy to DUJW attn:		Yes No	
<i>[Signature]</i>		<i>[Signature]</i>		10/14/20		22:45		Travel and Site Time:		Mileage:	
								Misc. Supplies			



*Alpha*

Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)

Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

23 October 2020

Bear Valley Water District

Attn: Guy West

P O Box 5027

Bear Valley, CA 95223

RE: Water Quality

Work Order: 20J2048

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 10/14/20 22:45. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeanette L. Poplin For Karen L. Lantz

Project Manager



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW II

Reported:  
10/23/20 14:39

Bay Area: 262 Rickenbacker Circle | Livermore, CA 94551 | T: 925-828-6226 | F: 925-828-6309 | ELAP# 2728  
Central Valley: 9090 Union Park Way Suite 113 | Elk Grove, CA 95624 | T: 916-686-5190 | F: 916-686-5192 | ELAP# 2922  
North Bay: 110 Liberty Street | Petaluma, CA 94952 | T: 707-769-3128 | F: 707-769-8093 | ELAP# 2303  
San Diego: 2722 Loker Avenue West Suite A | Carlsbad, CA 92010 | T: 760-930-2555 | F: 760-930-2510 | ELAP# 3055

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
Well #3	20J2048-01	Water	10/14/20 08:49	10/14/20 22:45
Well #4	20J2048-02	Water	10/14/20 08:09	10/14/20 22:45



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
 Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District P O Box 5027 Bear Valley, CA 95223	Project Manager: Guy West Project: Water Quality Project Number: MW II	Reported: 10/23/20 14:39
---	--	-----------------------------

	Result	Reporting Limit	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	ELAP#	Method	Note
<b>Well #3 (20J2048-01)</b>		<b>Sample Type: Water</b>			<b>Sampled: 10/14/20 08:49</b>				
<b>Metals by EPA 200 Series Methods</b>									
Boron	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/21/20 17:59	1551	EPA 200.7	
Iron	ND mg/L	0.10	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/21/20 17:59	1551	EPA 200.7	
Manganese	ND mg/L	0.020	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/21/20 17:59	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Sodium</b>	<b>5.4 mg/L</b>	<b>1.0</b>	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/21/20 17:59	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
<b>Chloride</b>	<b>5.8 mg/L</b>	<b>0.50</b>	1	AJ04078	10/16/20 05:28	10/16/20 05:28	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Well #4 (20J2048-02)</b>		<b>Sample Type: Water</b>			<b>Sampled: 10/14/20 08:09</b>				
<b>Metals by EPA 200 Series Methods</b>									
Boron	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/22/20 13:42	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Iron</b>	<b>3.1 mg/L</b>	<b>0.10</b>	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/22/20 13:42	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>0.12 mg/L</b>	<b>0.020</b>	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/22/20 13:42	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Sodium</b>	<b>9.0 mg/L</b>	<b>1.0</b>	1	AJ03831	10/16/20 13:00	10/22/20 13:42	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
<b>Chloride</b>	<b>6.3 mg/L</b>	<b>0.50</b>	1	AJ04078	10/16/20 05:47	10/16/20 05:47	2922	EPA 300.0	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW II

Reported:  
10/23/20 14:39

**Notes and Definitions**

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit  
dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis  
RPD Relative Percent Difference







*Alpha*

Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)

Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

28 October 2020

Bear Valley Water District

Attn: Guy West

P O Box 5027

Bear Valley, CA 95223

RE: Water Quality

Work Order: 20J2437

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 10/19/20 22:40. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeanette L. Poplin For Karen L. Lantz

Project Manager



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW 1

Reported:  
10/28/20 09:21

Bay Area: 262 Rickenbacker Circle | Livermore, CA 94551 | T: 925-828-6226 | F: 925-828-6309 | ELAP# 2728  
Central Valley: 9090 Union Park Way Suite 113 | Elk Grove, CA 95624 | T: 916-686-5190 | F: 916-686-5192 | ELAP# 2922  
North Bay: 110 Liberty Street | Petaluma, CA 94952 | T: 707-769-3128 | F: 707-769-8093 | ELAP# 2303  
San Diego: 2722 Loker Avenue West Suite A | Carlsbad, CA 92010 | T: 760-930-2555 | F: 760-930-2510 | ELAP# 3055

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
Well #1	20J2437-01	Water	10/15/20 08:29	10/19/20 22:40
Well #6	20J2437-02	Water	10/15/20 08:49	10/19/20 22:40



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
 Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District P O Box 5027 Bear Valley, CA 95223	Project Manager: Guy West Project: Water Quality Project Number: MW 1	Reported: 10/28/20 09:21
---	---	-----------------------------

	Result	Reporting Limit	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	ELAP#	Method	Note
<b>Well #1 (20J2437-01)</b>									
				<b>Sample Type: Water</b>					<b>Sampled: 10/15/20 08:29</b>
<b>Conventional Chemistry Parameters by APHA/EPA Methods</b>									
Ammonia as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04252	10/23/20 10:00	10/23/20 16:00	1551	SM4500NH3B,C	
<b>Total Dissolved Solids</b>	<b>130 mg/L</b>	<b>10</b>	1	AJ04413	10/22/20 11:40	10/23/20 15:40	2922	SM2540C	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	ND mg/L	1.0	1	AJ04054	10/20/20 06:00	10/21/20 11:20	1551	SM4500-Norg B	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Nitrate as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04144	10/15/20 18:29	10/15/20 18:29	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Microbiological Parameters by APHA Standard Methods</b>									
Total Coliforms	ND MPN/100mL	1.8	1	AJ03971	10/15/20 15:00	10/17/20 12:50	2922	SM9221B,C	
<b>Well #6 (20J2437-02)</b>									
				<b>Sample Type: Water</b>					<b>Sampled: 10/15/20 08:49</b>
<b>Conventional Chemistry Parameters by APHA/EPA Methods</b>									
Ammonia as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04252	10/23/20 10:00	10/23/20 16:00	1551	SM4500NH3B,C	
<b>Total Dissolved Solids</b>	<b>310 mg/L</b>	<b>10</b>	1	AJ04413	10/22/20 11:40	10/23/20 15:40	2922	SM2540C	
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	ND mg/L	1.0	1	AJ04054	10/20/20 06:00	10/21/20 11:20	1551	SM4500-Norg B	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Nitrate as N	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04144	10/15/20 18:49	10/15/20 18:49	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Microbiological Parameters by APHA Standard Methods</b>									
Total Coliforms	ND MPN/100mL	1.8	1	AJ03971	10/15/20 15:00	10/17/20 12:50	2922	SM9221B,C	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW 1

Reported:  
10/28/20 09:21

**Notes and Definitions**

ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit  
dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis  
RPD Relative Percent Difference





*Alpha*

Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc.

email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)

Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

27 October 2020

Bear Valley Water District

Attn: Guy West

P O Box 5027

Bear Valley, CA 95223

RE: Water Quality

Work Order: 20J2438

Enclosed are the results of analyses for samples received by the laboratory on 10/19/20 22:40. If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Jeanette L. Poplin For Karen L. Lantz

Project Manager



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW II

Reported:  
10/27/20 14:25

Bay Area: 262 Rickenbacker Circle | Livermore, CA 94551 | T: 925-828-6226 | F: 925-828-6309 | ELAP# 2728  
Central Valley: 9090 Union Park Way Suite 113 | Elk Grove, CA 95624 | T: 916-686-5190 | F: 916-686-5192 | ELAP# 2922  
North Bay: 110 Liberty Street | Petaluma, CA 94952 | T: 707-769-3128 | F: 707-769-8093 | ELAP# 2303  
San Diego: 2722 Loker Avenue West Suite A | Carlsbad, CA 92010 | T: 760-930-2555 | F: 760-930-2510 | ELAP# 3055

#### ANALYTICAL REPORT FOR SAMPLES

Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
Well #1	20J2438-01	Water	10/15/20 08:29	10/19/20 22:40
Well #6	20J2438-02	Water	10/15/20 08:49	10/19/20 22:40



Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
 Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District P O Box 5027 Bear Valley, CA 95223	Project Manager: Guy West Project: Water Quality Project Number: MW II	Reported: 10/27/20 14:25
---	--	-----------------------------

	Result	Reporting Limit	Dilution	Batch	Prepared	Analyzed	ELAP#	Method	Note
<b>Well #1 (20J2438-01)</b>									
				<b>Sample Type: Water</b>					<b>Sampled: 10/15/20 08:29</b>
<b>Metals by EPA 200 Series Methods</b>									
Boron	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:17	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Iron</b>	<b>6.5 mg/L</b>	<b>0.10</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:17	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>0.87 mg/L</b>	<b>0.020</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:17	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Sodium</b>	<b>7.3 mg/L</b>	<b>1.0</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:17	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Chloride	<b>0.83 mg/L</b>	<b>0.50</b>	1	AJ04078	10/16/20 06:07	10/16/20 06:07	2922	EPA 300.0	
<b>Well #6 (20J2438-02)</b>									
				<b>Sample Type: Water</b>					<b>Sampled: 10/15/20 08:49</b>
<b>Metals by EPA 200 Series Methods</b>									
Boron	ND mg/L	0.20	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:20	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Iron</b>	<b>3.1 mg/L</b>	<b>0.10</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:20	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Manganese</b>	<b>3.6 mg/L</b>	<b>0.020</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:20	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Sodium</b>	<b>15 mg/L</b>	<b>1.0</b>	1	AJ04062	10/20/20 11:00	10/26/20 15:20	1551	EPA 200.7	
<b>Anions by EPA Method 300.0</b>									
Chloride	<b>6.3 mg/L</b>	<b>0.50</b>	1	AJ04078	10/16/20 06:26	10/16/20 06:26	2922	EPA 300.0	

The results in this report apply to the samples analyzed in accordance with the chain of custody document. This analytical report must be reproduced in its entirety.





Alpha Analytical Laboratories, Inc. email: [clientservices@alpha-labs.com](mailto:clientservices@alpha-labs.com)  
Corporate: 208 Mason Street | Ukiah, CA 95482 | T: 707-468-0401 | F: 707-468-5267 | ELAP# 1551

Bear Valley Water District  
P O Box 5027  
Bear Valley, CA 95223

Project Manager: Guy West  
Project: Water Quality  
Project Number: MW II

Reported:  
10/27/20 14:25

#### Notes and Definitions

- QM-4X The spike recovery was outside of QC acceptance limits for the MS and/or MSD due to analyte concentration at 4 times or greater the spike concentration. The QC batch was accepted based on LCS and/or LCSD recoveries within the acceptance limits.
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- dry Sample results reported on a dry weight basis
- RPD Relative Percent Difference



# **BEAR VALLEY WATER DISTRICT – THIRD TRI-ANNUAL 2020 GROUNDWATER MONITORING REPORT**

Appendix C Historical Groundwater Elevations and Quality  
December 7, 2020

## **Appendix C Historical Groundwater Elevations and Quality**

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth To GW (ft)	GW Elev. (ft, NAVD88)	Field pH	Field EC (µS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo nia as N (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Lab SC (µS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)	
MW-1	9/1/2004	10.29	7103.79	6.7	221	4.8	<0.050	<1.0		166	28	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>0.940</b>	<b>0.370</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>						
MW-1	10/13/2004	12.73	7101.35	6.9	180	6.2	<0.1	1	<1	150	<2	0.05	<0.02	<b>0.350</b>	7.0	3.4						
MW-1	8/11/2005	9.32	7104.76	6.5	150	6.4	<0.1	2	<1	200	<2	<0.03	0.210	<b>0.280</b>	6.0	1.2	71	5.5	160	19.0	5.2	
MW-1	9/15/2005	9.54	7104.54	7.0	150	6.4	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<2	<0.03	<b>0.730</b>	<b>0.300</b>	6.0	3.6	56	7.9	160	20.0	5.3	
MW-1	10/13/2005	9.50	7104.58	6.6	1482	7.1	<0.1	<1	<1	120	2	0.03	0.150	<b>0.260</b>	6.0	2.2	138	7.5	170	18.0	4.8	
MW-1	6/29/2006	9.60	7104.48	6.9	125	5.1	<0.1	<1	<1	110	<2	<0.03	0.060	<b>0.140</b>	5.0	1.6	103	1.7	140	14.0	3.9	
MW-1	8/2/2006	8.25	7105.83	7.7	156	6.9	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<b>21</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.280</b>	7.0	1.4	65	4.3	170	20.0	5.0	
MW-1	10/10/2006	8.08	7106.00	6.8	171	5.9	<0.1	<1	<1	160	<2	<0.03	0.130	<b>0.360</b>	7.0	1.5	70	2.7	180	22.0	5.7	
MW-1	7/12/2007	10.10	7103.98	7.0	173	7.0	<0.1	<1	<1	220	2	<0.03	0.130	<b>0.370</b>	6.0	1.2	110	6.7	180	23.0	5.8	
MW-1	8/29/2007	9.00	7105.08	7.1	180	7.7	<0.1	<1	<1	170	14	0.037	<b>0.340</b>	<b>0.430</b>	6.0	1.5	-2	4.9	200	25.0	5.8	
MW-1	9/26/2007	12.30	7101.78	7.2	189	7.4	<0.1	<1	<1	170	<2	<0.03	0.140	<b>0.380</b>	6.7	1.0	-121	4.7	200	23.0	6.0	
MW-1	7/8/2008	10.25	7103.83	7.2	168	7.4	<0.1	<1	<1	170	<b>4</b>	<0.03	0.060	<b>0.270</b>	6.0	1.1	141	1.9	180	21.0	6.0	
MW-1	9/18/2008	9.70	7104.38	7.3	189	6.9	<0.1	<1	<1	180	<b>230</b>	<0.03	0.060	<b>0.330</b>	7.0	<1.0	156	7.4	200	22.0	5.1	
MW-1	1/16/2008	12.30	7101.78	7.6	180	6.4	<0.1	<0.1	<1	150	<b>11</b>	<0.03	0.180	<b>0.360</b>	11.0	1.2	78	7.1	190	26.0	7.7	
MW-1	7/7/2009	8.95	7105.13	7.2	168	6.8	<0.1	<1	<1	220	2	<0.03	0.140	<b>0.260</b>	7.0	0.8	469	6.2	180	23.0	5.8	
MW-1	9/30/2009	9.00	7105.08	6.2	194	6.8	<0.1	<1	<1	170	<b>8</b>	<0.03	0.120	<b>0.420</b>	7.0	0.6	52	1.9	190	25.0	5.5	
MW-1	10/26/2009	10.30	7103.78	<b>2.7</b>	142	6.3	0.3	1	<1	160	<b>80</b>	<0.03	0.110	<b>0.280</b>	9.0	1.1	281	1.0	190	23.0	6.2	
MW-1	7/13/2010	8.80	7105.28	6.4	150	6.0	<0.1	<1	<1	140	<2	<0.03	0.040	<b>0.220</b>	6.0	1.9	402	1.7	150	19.0	4.7	
MW-1	8/24/2010	9.03	7105.05	7.0	185	6.1	<0.1	<1	<1	170	2	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.300</b>	7.0	0.9	43	0.9	190	20.0	5.4	
MW-1	11/4/2010	8.80	7105.28	5.9	173	6.3	<0.1	6	<1	140	<b>17</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.310</b>	6.0	2.2	132	2.0	170	18.0	4.3	
MW-1	7/21/2011	8.10	7105.98	5.6	148	5.7	<0.1	<1	<1	130	<2	<0.03	0.017	<b>0.200</b>	4.6	1.6	101	1.1	150	14.7	4.1	
MW-1	9/8/2011	9.54	7104.54	7.0	177	6.2	<0.1	2	<1	140	<2	<0.03	0.040	<b>0.272</b>	5.7	1.1	38	1.3	180	17.2	5.1	
MW-1	10/20/2011	9.44	7104.64	6.6	167	5.7	<0.1	2	<1	140	<b>4.5</b>	<0.03	0.060	<b>0.280</b>	5.4	1.6	61	1.5	170	17.3	4.3	
MW-1	6/26/2012	9.00	7105.08	6.7	93	5.4	0.2	<1	<1	110	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.190</b>	5.6	1.7	63	2.1	93	15.9	4.1	
MW-1	7/31/2012	10.30	7103.78	7.0	197	8.5	<0.1	1	<1	150	2	<0.03	0.081	<b>0.263</b>	6.6	0.7	103	0.1	200	23.9	5.8	
MW-1	10/9/2012	12.40	7101.68	6.5	184	5.8	<0.2	2	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	0.105	<b>0.322</b>	6.5	1.6	87	1.5	180	20.4	5.0	
MW-1	5/30/2013	11.00	7103.08	6.4	153	6.1	<0.2	<1	<1	120	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.143</b>	5.3	1.7	198	--	150	16.7	4.5	
MW-1	8/21/2013	12.39	7101.69	6.6	177	8.1	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<1.8	<0.03	0.080	<b>0.280</b>	5.3	1.5	276	2.3	180	18.6	4.6	
MW-1	10/15/2013	12.95	7101.13	6.4	193	7.1	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	<0.03	0.093	<b>0.300</b>	6.8	1.5	514	1.3	190	25.7	5.9	
MW-1	6/12/2014	11.04	7103.04	6.2	130	6.4	<0.2	<1	<1	120	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.052</b>	5.0	2.6	266	2.9	130	14.4	4.1	
MW-1	8/12/2014	10.67	7103.41	7.4	157	6.7	<0.2	<1	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.206</b>	5.6	2.4	258	2.3	160	18.9	4.6	
MW-1	10/14/2014	12.39	7101.69	7.2	189	6.7	<0.2	<1	<1	170	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.299</b>	6.2	1.0	264	0.3	190	21.8	5.6	
MW-1	6/17/2015	10.72	7103.36	7.2	138	6.8	<0.2	<1	<1	110	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.046	4.6	5.2	306	1.8	140	12.5	3.7	
MW-1	9/9/2015	10.19	7103.89	6.6	165	6.7	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	0.034	<0.03	<b>0.203</b>	5.9	2.2	241	2.7	170	19.4	5.0	
MW-1	11/12/2015	11.00	7103.08	6.5	161	8.3	<0.2	<1	<1	160	<b>17</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.205</b>	5.1	3.7	270	--	160	17.3	4.6	
MW-1	7/7/2016	8.89	7105.19	6.1	154	8.6	<0.2	<1	<1	110	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.108</b>	5.4	2.0	--	--	150	17.7	4.6	
MW-1	9/8/2016	11.11	7102.97	6.4	168	6.9	<0.1	0.62	<0.1	170	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.196</b>	5.5	1.9	--	--	--	19.1	4.8	
MW-1	10/20/2016	9.48	7104.60	6.4	172	6.5	<0.1	0.31	0.19	140	<b>230</b>	<0.03	0.043	<b>0.236</b>	5.8	2.1	--	--	--	20.5	5.1	
MW-1	7/13/2017	10.09	7103.99	7.6	88	7.3	<0.4	<1	<1	92	<b>5400</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.016</b>	4.5	1.9	--	--	88	8.9	2.7	
MW-1	8/24/2017	9.85	7104.23	6.1	154	6.5	<0.4	<1	<1	130	<b>490</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.128</b>	5.5	1.3	--	--	150	15.0	4.6	
MW-1	9/28/2017	10.45	7103.63	6.1	152	5.8	<0.4	<1	<1	130	1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.148</b>	5.7	1.6	--	--	150	16.5	4.3	
MW-1	6/29/2018	8.70	7105.38	6.7	233	5.6	<0.2	<1	<0.2	<b>161</b>	<1.8						--	--				
MW-1	8/23/2018	10.81	7103.27	6.8	185	5.5	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8											
MW-1	10/10/2018	13.11	7100.97	6.7	198	5.1	2.8	<1	<0.2	160	<1.8	<0.2	<b>14</b>	<b>1.400</b>	9.3	1.7						
MW-1	7/18/2019	9.35	7104.73	6.0	90	7.1	<0.2	<1	<0.2	90	<1.8											
MW-1	8/29/2019	9.41	7104.67	6.6	173	6.4	<0.2	<1	<0.2	130	<1.8											
MW-1	10/3/2019	10.33	7103.75	6.9	175	4.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	130	<1.8	<0.2	<b>0.39</b>	<b>0.220</b>	6.1	1.0						

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth To GW (ft)	GW Elev. (ft, NAVD88)	Field pH	Field EC (μS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo nia as N (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Lab SC (μS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)	
MW-1	6/11/2020	8.30	7105.78	6.5	109	5.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	110	<1.8											
MW-1	8/13/2020	8.78	7105.30	6.6	173	5.8	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8											
MW-1	10/15/2020	12.16	7101.92	6.7	193	6.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	130	<1.8	<0.2	<b>6.5</b>	<b>0.870</b>	7.3	0.8						
MW-2	10/30/2002	12.25	7055.28	6.7			<0.050	NR <sup>1</sup>	<0.4	186	>2400	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>79<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>1.13<sup>T</sup></b>	19.8 <sup>T</sup>	58.0						
MW-2	7/29/2003			7.1	112	9.2	<0.1	1	<0.2	80	<b>6</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>						
MW-2	11/13/2003	10.95	7056.58	7.7			<0.050*	NR <sup>1</sup>	<0.4		2	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>37<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>0.82<sup>T</sup></b>	5.7 <sup>T</sup>	<1.0						
MW-2	6/22/2004	3.76	7063.77	6.7	70	4.8	<0.050	2	<0.4	82	2	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>0.920</b>	<0.02	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>						
MW-2	9/1/2004	8.86	7058.67	6.9	68	7.2	<0.050	1	<0.4	90	<2	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>0.590</b>	<0.02	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>						
MW-2	10/13/2004	17.80	7049.73	6.5	63	11.4	<0.1	10	<1	96	<b>4</b>	0.03	0.020	<b>0.110</b>	3.0	2.2						
MW-2	8/11/2005	3.82	7063.71	6.2	50	11.9	<0.1	2	<1	140	<2	<0.03	<b>0.310</b>	0.040	2.0	<1	11	1.1	54	5.5	1.8	
MW-2	9/15/2005	8.00	7059.53	7.1	51	12.3	0.1	2	0.5	130	<2	<0.03	<b>0.680</b>	0.010	3.0	<1	99	NS	56	6.1	2.1	
MW-2	10/13/2005	8.35	7059.18	6.8	59	10.0	<0.1	<1	<1	110	<b>30</b>	<0.03	0.280	0.010	3.0	<1	1	9.4	67	6.4	2.2	
MW-2	6/29/2006	0.50	7067.03	7.9	45	12.5	<0.1	<1	<1	93	<2	<0.03	0.100	<0.01	2.0	<1	133	0.6	48	4.6	1.5	
MW-2	8/2/2006	7.24	7060.29	7.8	45	13.1	<0.1	<1	<1	100	<2	<0.03	0.070	<b>0.060</b>	4.0	<1	37	1.8	53	5.0	1.7	
MW-2	10/10/2006	7.30	7060.23	6.8	66	7.9	<0.1	<1	<1	130	<2	<0.03	<b>0.440</b>	0.020	4.0	2.3	160	7.6	75	8.0	2.8	
MW-2	7/12/2007	8.10	7059.43	6.8	41	15.9	<0.1	0.7	<1	43	2	<0.03	<b>1.200</b>	0.049	3.0	1.1	229	8.5	49	5.5	1.7	
MW-2	8/29/2007	8.70	7058.83	7.3	67	16.0	0.1	<1	<1	100	<2	<0.03	<b>0.970</b>	<b>0.100</b>	3.0	1.9	150	6.4	75	7.8	2.2	
MW-2	9/26/2007	10.30	7057.23	6.7	54	11.0	0.1	<1	<1	130	2	<0.03	0.023	0.015	3.0	2.0	-121	12.0	65	5.2	1.7	
MW-2	7/8/2008	2.90	7064.63	6.6	45	13.8	<0.1	<1	<1	130	<b>220</b>	<0.03	<b>0.450</b>	0.020	2.0	<1	137	4.1	49	5.0	2.0	
MW-2	9/18/2008	7.95	7059.58	6.7	115	13.1	0.2	3	<1	86	<2	<0.03	<b>0.510</b>	0.010	7.0	6.8	764	13.1	99	5.2	1.7	
MW-2	10/16/2008	8.78	7058.75	7.5	52	18.3	0.2	<0.1	<1	97	2	<0.03	0.220	0.010	3.0	1.6	214	7.6	56	5.7	1.7	
MW-2	7/7/2009	6.30	7061.23	6.9	44	9.4	<0.1	<1	<1	330	2	<0.03	<b>0.910</b>	0.020	3.0	<0.2	363	8.5	48	6.1	1.8	
MW-2	9/30/2009	8.70	7058.83	6.0	59	8.4	<0.1	<1	<1	47	<b>8</b>	<0.03	<b>0.620</b>	0.020	3.0	0.9	85	0.0	61	6.9	1.6	
MW-2	10/26/2009	7.85	7059.68	6.1	47	9.0	0.5	<1	<1	54	<b>2200</b>	<0.03	<b>0.520</b>	0.040	3.0	1.8	480	4.2	61	6.8	1.9	
MW-2	7/13/2010	0.80	7066.73	6.1	43	9.3	<0.1	<1	<1	61	<b>11</b>	<0.03	0.200	<0.01	2.0	1.4	134	0.3	43	4.7	1.5	
MW-2	8/24/2010	8.34	7059.19	6.3	47	9.8	<0.1	<1	<1	90	<b>23</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	2.0	0.5	136	7.9	47	4.7	1.5	
MW-2	11/4/2010	0.70	7066.83	5.8	57	9.5	<0.1	3	<1	49	<b>500</b>	<0.03	0.080	0.010	3.0	1.9	201	4.0	57	6.4	1.8	
MW-2	7/21/2011	0.40	7067.13	6.2	42	7.2	<0.1	<1	<1	59	<b>13</b>	<0.03	0.116	<b>0.200</b>	1.8	<0.2	179	0.4	42	4.5	1.4	
MW-2	9/8/2011	4.40	7063.13	6.4	56	10.2	<0.1	2	<1	70	2	<0.03	<b>1.540</b>	0.014	2.6	0.6	77	1.7	56	5.3	2.1	
MW-2	10/20/2011	3.30	7064.23	6.1	67	10.8	<0.1	1	<1	60	<b>79</b>	<0.03	0.034	<0.01	2.5	1.0	121	2.1	67	6.5	2.0	
MW-2	6/26/2012	2.95	7064.58	6.2	40	9.9	<0.1	2	<1	53	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	2.5	0.3	70	0.7	40	6.0	1.9	
MW-2	7/31/2012	4.75	7062.78	6.3	74	9.7	<0.2	<1	<1	67	<b>23</b>	<0.03	0.054	<0.01	3.7	0.8	139	0.9	74	8.4	2.7	
MW-2	10/9/2012	11.24	7056.29	5.9	100	9.0	<0.2	<1	<1	81	<1.8	<0.03	0.029	<b>0.220</b>	8.6	8.7	691	2.6	100	7.0	2.1	
MW-2	5/30/2013	1.00	7066.53	6.1	43	8.3	<0.2	<1	<1	53	<b>4.5</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	2.1	0.4	150	--	43	4.3	1.4	
MW-2	8/21/2013	7.00	7060.53	5.2	50	11.8	<0.2	<1	<1	160	<b>4.5</b>	<0.03	0.197	<b>0.168</b>	3.0	0.8	231	2.2	50	5.8	1.8	
MW-2	10/15/2013	12.41	7055.12	5.6	56	9.6	<0.2	<1	<1	87	<b>4</b>	<0.03	0.044	0.023	3.2	0.7	571	3.1	56	4.9	1.4	
MW-2	6/12/2014	1.54	7065.99	5.9	47	7.7	<0.2	<1	<1	65	<b>4.5</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	2.3	0.3	83	4.1	47	4.5	1.2	
MW-2	8/12/2014	7.94	7059.59	6.7	54	11.4	<0.2	<1	<1	85	<b>21</b>	<0.03	<0.02	0.023	2.6	0.3	155	4.1	54	5.5	1.7	
MW-2	10/14/2014	10.28	7057.25	5.9	55	10.1	<0.2	<1	<1	120	<1.8	<0.03	0.101	0.115	3.2	0.7	616	2.6	55	7.1	2.1	
MW-2	6/17/2015	1.94	7065.59	7.7	47	9.2	<0.2	<1	<1	45	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	2.4	0.3	78	0.4	47	4.8	1.5	

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth To GW (ft)	GW Elev. (ft, NAVD88)	Field pH	Field EC (µS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo nia as N (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Lab SC (µS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)
MW-2	9/9/2015	10.31	7057.22	6.9	50	11.6	<0.2	<1	<1	70	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	0.042	2.8	0.6	201	2.7	50	5.1	1.6
MW-2	11/13/2015	8.81	7058.72	6.1	60	12.1	<0.2	<1	<1	90	<b>6.8</b>	<0.03	<0.03	0.023	2.4	0.9	349	--	60	5.9	1.9
MW-2	7/7/2016	2.29	7065.24	5.7	49	11.2	<0.2	<1	<1	54	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	2.3	0.3	--	--	49	5.9	1.5
MW-2	9/8/2016	7.63	7059.90	6.3	70	10.6	<0.1	0.40	<0.1	180	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	2.7	1.3	--	--	--	7.1	2.2
MW-2	10/20/2016	2.04	7065.49	5.8	64.5	10.3	0.2	0.35	0.14	54	<b>170</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	3.2	2.2	--	--	--	6.9	2.1
MW-2	7/13/2017	1.83	7065.70	7.2	46	10.7	<0.4	<1	<1	54	<1.8	<0.03	0.077	<b>0.160</b>	3.0	0.3	--	--	46	7.1	2.0
MW-2	8/24/2017	6.57	7060.96	6.0	57	12.9	<0.4	<1	<1	55	<b>1300</b>	<0.03	<0.03	0.022	2.9	0.6	--	--	57	5.2	1.8
MW-2	9/28/2017	8.45	7059.08	5.8	57	12.6	<0.4	1	<1	67	2	<0.03	<0.03	0.041	2.8	0.7	--	--	57	6.1	1.8
MW-2	6/28/2018	3.60	7063.93	6.5	77	8.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	<b>54</b>	<1.8						--	--			
MW-2	8/22/2018	8.80	7058.73	5.3	64.7	8.7	<0.2	2.50	<0.2	65	<b>79</b>										
MW-2	10/10/2018	10.57	7056.96	IVS																	
MW-2	7/17/2019	0.60	7066.93	6.3	48.1	11.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	50	2										
MW-2	8/28/2019	6.22	7061.31	6.3	56.1	11.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	56	<1.8										
MW-2	10/2/2019	6.63	7060.90	6.3	68.8	11.1	<0.2	<1	<0.02	66	<1.8	<0.2	<b>16</b>	<b>0.170</b>	4.7	1.2					
MW-2	6/10/2020	1.50	7066.03	6.3	44	6.3	<0.2	<1	<0.2	43	<b>6.1</b>										
MW-2	8/12/2020	5.18	7062.35	6.6	68.6	10.8	<0.2	<1	<0.2	12	<1.8										
MW-2	10/14/2020	9.68	7057.85	7.0	75.4	7.7	IVS														
MW-3	10/30/2002	6.38	7049.99	6.3			<0.050	NR <sup>1</sup>		256	<b>&gt;2400</b>	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>63<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>0.92<sup>T</sup></b>	32 <sup>T</sup>	74.0					
MW-3	7/29/2003			6.4	98	6.9	0.3	1		60	<b>1600</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-3	11/13/2003	6.30	7050.07	6.3			0.06*	NR <sup>1</sup>			<b>9</b>	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>46<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>0.73<sup>T</sup></b>	10.7 <sup>T</sup>	8.6					
MW-3	6/22/2004	2.45	7053.92	6.1	94	4.2	0.52	2		122	<b>9</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>0.650</b>	<0.02	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-3	9/1/2004	4.75	7051.62	6.6	100	7.2	0.63	<1.0		124	<2	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>0.380</b>	<0.02	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-3	10/13/2004	6.59	7049.78	6.1	85	8.9	0.3	<1	<1	100	<2	0.04	<0.02	<0.01	7.0	6.5					
MW-3	8/11/2005	3.12	7053.25	6.3	70	7.5	0.5	<1	<1	88	2	<0.03	0.040	<0.01	6.0	5.0	59	4.4	75	6.2	1.7
MW-3	9/15/2005	2.97	7053.40	6.1	78	10.8	<0.1	<1	<1	82	<b>30</b>	<0.03	0.070	<0.02	6.0	7.7	100	9.1	70	5.9	1.5
MW-3	10/13/2005	3.48	7052.89	6.8	NM	10.1	0.4	2	<1	80	<b>9</b>	<0.03	0.030	0.040	7.0	11	84	4.4	92	7.3	2.2
MW-3	6/29/2006	2.02	7054.35	7.6	50	6.3	<0.1	<1	<1	49	2	<0.03	0.030	<0.01	4.0	3	180	2.7	56	4.3	1.2
MW-3	8/2/2006	2.75	7053.62	7.7	88	7.9	0.2	<1	<1	72	<2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	6.0	5	70	3.6	68	5.4	1.5
MW-3	10/10/2006	3.15	7053.22	6.4	76	8.7	<0.1	2	<1	82	<b>13</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	6.0	7.4	169	2.6	82	6.6	2.0
MW-3	7/12/2007	3.17	7053.20	6.2	59	10.4	0.2	<1	<1	91	<2	<0.03	0.053	<0.01	8.0	4.3	249	4.2	66	5.9	1.6
MW-3	8/29/2007	3.40	7052.97	6.4	89	13.6	<0.1	<1	<1	71	<b>800</b>	<0.03	0.024	<0.01	6.0	11.0	176	4.5	97	7.5	1.8
MW-3	9/26/2007	5.00	7051.37	5.8	89	10.9	0.1	<1	<1	90	<b>80</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.0	11.0	-109	7.8	96	7.5	2.1
MW-3	7/8/2008	2.50	7053.87	6.4	47	8.8	0.1	<1	<1	72	2	<0.03	0.210	<0.01	6.0	5.3	218	2.5	66	5.0	2.0
MW-3	9/18/2008	3.85	7052.52	6.0	93	12.8	<0.1	<1	<1	94	<2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.0	13.0	681	3.9	97	6.8	1.9
MW-3	10/16/2008	5.54	7050.83	7.0	101	11.6	<0.1	0.15	<1	94	2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.0	16.0	109	5.1	110	10.0	2.7
MW-3	7/7/2009	2.40	7053.97	6.1	77	6.0	0.5	<1	<1	100	<b>4</b>	<0.03	0.060	<0.01	6.0	11.0	680	1.4	81	7.1	1.9
MW-3	9/30/2009	3.65	7052.72	5.5	106	12.4	<0.1	<1	<1	100	<b>4</b>	<0.03	0.060	<0.01	8.0	12.0	211	1.7	110	9.3	2.0
MW-3	10/26/2009	4.10	7052.27	5.7	61	10.5	0.9	<1	<1	70	<b>22</b>	<0.03	0.100	<0.01	6.0	8.6	239	6.1	77	4.9	1.4
MW-3	7/13/2010	2.10	7054.27	6.1	58	3.8	<0.1	<1	<1	60	<b>8</b>	<0.03	0.030	<0.01	5.0	3.6	116	1.8	58	3.8	0.6
MW-3	8/24/2010	2.65	7053.72	5.8	79	11.8	<0.1	<1	<1	87	2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.0	6.1	153	0.8	79	6.3	1.8
MW-3	11/4/2010	2.10	7054.27	5.6	105	9.8	<0.1	3.00	<1	92	<b>800</b>	<0.03	0.020	<0.01	8.0	12.0	157	0.7	110	8.5	2.2
MW-3	7/21/2011	0.90	7055.47	6.2	52	3.6	<0.1	<1	<1	56	<b>34</b>	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	3.8	2.4	113	2.3	52	3.9	1.0

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth	GW Elev.	Field pH	Field EC (µS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved	Lab SC (µS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)
		To GW (ft)	(ft, NAVD88)						nia as N									Oxygen (mg/L)			
MW-3	9/8/2011	2.45	7053.92	6.1	71	9.5	<0.1	2.00	<1	62	2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	5.3	3.1	122	0.5	71	5.1	1.6
MW-3	10/20/2011	2.14	7054.23	6.1	76	8.2	<0.1	1.00	<1	68	130	<0.03	0.032	<0.01	5.5	3.7	123	0.9	76	5.7	1.5
MW-3	6/26/2012	2.35	7054.02	6.0	48	6.3	<0.1	<1	<1	64	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	6.4	3.8	84	0.4	48	6.1	1.7
MW-3	7/31/2012	2.86	7053.51	6.0	89	12.1	<0.2	<1	<1	69	<1.8	<0.03	0.330	0.029	6.1	6.3	157	0.0	89	7.7	2.0
MW-3	10/9/2012	5.98	7050.39	5.7	85	9.4	<0.2	<1	<1	72	<1.8	<0.03	0.067	0.017	6.2	5.3	436	1.2	85	6.5	1.8
MW-3	5/30/2013	2.20	7054.17	5.9	54	7.0	<0.2	<1	<1	60	6.8	<0.03	0.039	0.031	4.7	3.4	147	--	54	4.3	1.2
MW-3	8/21/2013	4.90	7051.47	4.2	73	9.3	<0.2	<1	<1	68	<1.8	<0.03	0.042	0.017	5.3	5.0	359	1.6	73	5.2	1.4
MW-3	10/15/2013	6.11	7050.26	5.4	76	9.4	<0.2	<1	<1	79	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	6.1	4.8	588	2.2	76	6.2	1.5
MW-3	6/12/2014	2.33	7054.04	5.7	61	5.2	<0.2	<1	<1	65	6.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	5.3	3.9	66	0.6	61	4.5	1.0
MW-3	8/12/2014	4.62	7051.75	5.6	62	10.5	0.3	<1	<1	69	9.3	<0.03	0.072	0.033	5.8	7.3	224	2.8	62	5.5	1.4
MW-3	10/14/2014	7.12	7049.25	5.5	70	9.1	<0.2	<1	<1	64	<1.8	<0.03	0.052	0.011	6.4	4.5	187	0.1	70	5.7	1.5
MW-3	6/17/2015	1.98	7054.39	7.8	68	6.7	0.3	<1	<1	63	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	5.2	4.1	197	4.6	68	5.5	1.4
MW-3	9/9/2015	4.87	7051.50	7.4	67	9.0	<0.2	<1	<1	75	7.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	5.5	3.8	164	3.3	67	5.1	1.4
MW-3	11/13/2015	5.78	7050.59	6.0	68	10.6	<0.2	<1	<1	67	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	5.1	4.1	243	--	68	5.3	1.5
MW-3	7/7/2016	2.08	7054.29	5.7	68	5.7	<0.2	<1	<1	69	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	0.022	4.9	5.3	--	--	68	6.1	1.5
MW-3	9/8/2016	4.62	7051.75	5.5	87	12.7	<0.1	0.35	<0.1	66	230	<0.03	<0.03	0.041	5.4	9.6	--	--	--	7.4	1.9
MW-3	10/20/2016	2.37	7054.00	5.8	88	9.1	<0.1	0.35	<0.1	71	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	6.1	9.9	--	--	--	7.4	2.0
MW-3	7/13/2017	2.19	7054.18	7.6	68	4.6	<0.4	<1	<1	60	2	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	4.9	4.5	--	--	68	5.3	1.4
MW-3	8/24/2017	2.82	7053.55	6.0	79	11.7	<0.4	<1	<1	67	330	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	5.3	4.3	--	--	79	6.0	1.8
MW-3	9/28/2017	3.53	7052.84	5.5	79	12.0	<0.4	2	<1	71	7.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	5.0	3.1	--	--	79	6.8	1.8
MW-3	6/28/2018	2.20	7054.17	6.1	117	5.4	<0.2	<1	<0.2	82	<1.8						--	--			
MW-3	8/22/2018	4.30	7052.07	6.2	74	10.2	<0.2	<1	<0.2	59	4.5										
MW-3	10/10/2018	6.54	7049.83	6.1	81.4	7.2	<0.2	<1	<0.2	73	<1.8	<0.2	0.96	0.021	6.2	4.5					
MW-3	7/17/2019	1.80	7054.57	6.2	80.5	5.5	<0.2	<1	<0.2	56	7.8										
MW-3	8/28/2019	2.91	7053.46	6.1	84.1	8.5	<0.2	<1	<0.2	60	2										
MW-3	10/2/2019	4.01	7052.36	5.9	84	10.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	61	<1.8	<0.2	1.3	0.025	6.0	4.8					
MW-3	6/10/2020	0.20	7056.17	6.2	64.1	6.4	<0.2	<1	<0.2	48	<1.8										
MW-3	8/12/2020	3.11	7053.26	6.2	87.5	10.7	<0.2	<1	<0.2	63	<1.8										
MW-3	10/14/2020	5.90	7050.47	6.6	80.3	9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	81	<1.8	<0.2	<0.1	<0.02	5.4	5.8					
MW-4	10/30/2002	4.30	7050.49	7.0			<0.050	NR <sup>1</sup>		294	900	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	370 <sup>T</sup>	14.8 <sup>T</sup>	42 <sup>T</sup>	44.0					
MW-4	7/29/2003			7.2	231	6.0	<0.1	<0.5		170	240	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-4	11/13/2003	3.96	7050.83	7.2			0.05*	NR <sup>1</sup>			<2	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	49 <sup>T</sup>	2.06 <sup>T</sup>	10.5 <sup>T</sup>	5.5					
MW-4	6/22/2004	2.88	7051.91	6.8	254	4.7	0.05	<1.0		172	<2	NR <sup>3</sup>	0.110	0.080	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-4	9/1/2004	12.95	7041.84	6.4	278	7.3	<0.050	<1.0		167	<2	NR <sup>3</sup>	0.170	0.190	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-4	10/13/2004	4.38	7050.41	6.8	230	8.8	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<2	0.03	<0.02	0.580	9.0	6.9					
MW-4	8/11/2005	3.22	7051.57	6.7	210	7.0	<0.1	<1	<1	170	<2	<0.03	0.110	0.050	9.0	6.1	34	1.3	220	25.0	8.6
MW-4	9/15/2005	3.10	7051.69	6.7	230	7.0	0.1	<1	<1	180	<2	<0.03	<0.02	0.390	10.0	7.5	112	1.1	240	26.0	8.8
MW-4	10/13/2005	3.20	7051.59	7.3	25	7.5	0.2	1	<1	160	<2	<0.03	0.760	1.300	10.0	8.4	8	9.6	260	28.0	9.2
MW-4	6/29/2006	2.65	7052.14	7.2	193	5.0	0.1	<1	<1	130	<2	<0.03	0.020	0.030	8.0	4.8	165	1.5	200	22.0	7.4
MW-4	8/2/2006	3.08	7051.71	8.3	186	8.2	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<2	<0.03	<0.02	0.030	9.0	5.9	94	0.7	200	22.0	7.4
MW-4	10/10/2006	3.00	7051.79	6.9	205	6.9	<0.1	1	<1	160	2	<0.03	<0.02	0.050	10.0	6.1	101	1.5	210	24.0	7.9
MW-4	7/12/2007	3.70	7051.09	7.2	180	10.0	<0.1	0.1	<1	180	<2	<0.03	0.031	0.059	10.0	6.3	213	1.6	200	24.0	7.5
MW-4	8/29/2007	3.30	7051.49	7.0	187	10.1	<0.1	<1	<1	140	<2	<0.03	0.160	0.073	8.0	6.7	127	6.6	200	22.0	7.3
MW-4	9/26/2007	3.60	7051.19	6.8	191	9.5	<0.1	<1	<1	140	<2	<0.03	0.067	0.067	9.0	6.4	-106	9.4	210	21.0	7.0
MW-4	7/8/2008	3.00	7051.79	6.9	203	8.2	<0.1	<1	<1	180	<2	<0.03	0.060	0.030	8.0	6.6	216	1.1	220	24.0	8.0



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth	GW Elev.	Field pH	Field EC (µS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved	Lab SC (µS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)
		To GW (ft)	(ft, NAVD88)						nia as N									Oxygen (mg/L)			
MW-4	9/18/2008	3.49	7051.30	7.0	196	9.5	<0.1	<1	<1	160	<2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	9.0	6.3	476	2.4	210	20.0	6.5
MW-4	10/16/2008	3.75	7051.04	7.7	191	9.5	<0.1	<0.1	<1	170	2	<0.03	0.020	<0.01	9.0	6.3	133	6.2	210	22.0	7.2
MW-4	7/7/2009	3.35	7051.44	7.0	207	7.3	0.4	2	<1	210	<2	<0.03	0.040	0.040	9.0	6.6	476	5.6	220	25.0	8.2
MW-4	9/30/2009	3.30	7051.49	4.5	199	8.1	<0.1	<1	<1	160	<2	<0.03	0.080	<0.01	9.0	7.2	243	3.9	200	23.0	7.0
MW-4	10/26/2009	3.35	7051.44	6.2	188	8.6	0.3	<1	<1	220	<b>1300</b>	<0.03	0.030	0.260	9.0	8.2	300	4.7	240	25.0	7.5
MW-4	7/13/2010	2.50	7052.29	6.6	227	5.5	<0.1	<1	<1	150	2	<0.03	0.030	<0.01	9.0	6.9	105	0.6	230	25.0	8.3
MW-4	8/24/2010	3.03	7051.76	6.4	228	6.9	<0.1	<1	<1	180	<2	<0.03	<0.02	0.040	9.0	7.1	83	0.2	230	23.0	7.6
MW-4	11/4/2010	2.15	7052.64	6.5	194	7.8	<0.1	<1	<1	140	<b>50</b>	<0.03	<0.02	0.040	8.0	6.9	172	0.1	190	21.0	6.5
MW-4	7/21/2011	1.60	7053.19	6.9	208	5.3	<0.1	<1	<1	160	<2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.4	4.8	104	0.4	210	21.0	7.1
MW-4	9/8/2011	2.85	7051.94	6.9	215	6.6	<0.1	1	<1	150	<2	<0.03	<0.02	0.019	7.8	6.2	84	0.2	220	18.4	7.2
MW-4	10/20/2011	2.30	7052.49	7.0	191	7.3	<0.1	<1	<1	140	2	<0.03	<0.02	<b>0.079</b>	7.4	6.1	88	0.2	190	17.4	5.8
MW-4	6/26/2012	2.55	7052.24	8.0	125	6.4	<0.1	<1	<1	130	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.022	9.5	20.0	94	0.4	130	22.2	7.5
MW-4	7/31/2012	3.00	7051.79	6.6	204	6.9	<0.2	2	<1	150	<b>6.8</b>	<0.03	<0.02	0.012	8.6	6.4	86	0.1	200	22.4	7.0
MW-4	10/9/2012	4.30	7050.49	5.8	191	8.1	<0.2	<1	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	0.020	0.046	8.3	6.4	357	1.0	190	18.2	5.9
MW-4	5/30/2013	2.30	7052.49	6.4	210	6.1	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.027	7.7	6.9	109	--	210	20.8	7.2
MW-4	8/21/2013	3.30	7051.49	6.5	200	8.2	<0.2	<1	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.030	7.5	6.8	448	0.4	200	18.8	6.3
MW-4	10/15/2013	4.31	7050.48	6.6	200	8.9	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.014	8.2	6.8	553	0.5	200	21.8	6.5
MW-4	6/12/2014	2.66	7052.13	5.6	227	6.1	<0.2	<1	<1	160	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	8.5	7.3	129	0.3	230	23.1	8.2
MW-4	8/12/2014	3.57	7051.22	6.9	208	7.9	<0.2	<1	<1	160	<1.8	<0.03	0.026	<b>0.068</b>	8.5	6.8	213	0.1	210	21.8	6.9
MW-4	10/14/2014	4.69	7050.10	6.7	201	9.0	<0.2	<1	<1	130	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.009	7.7	6.8	574	0.1	200	18.9	6.8
MW-4	6/17/2015	2.41	7052.38	7.1	217	7.1	<0.2	<1	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	7.7	6.9	-7	0.1	220	20.7	7.0
MW-4	9/9/2015	3.72	7051.07	6.7	203	9.1	<0.2	<1	<1	160	2	0.034	<0.03	0.024	8.2	6.8	109	0.2	200	20.1	6.6
MW-4	11/13/2015	3.16	7051.63	6.8	189	8.9	<0.2	<1	<1	130	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	8.2	7.7	253	--	190	18.4	5.7
MW-4	7/7/2016	2.82	7051.97	6.1	215	9.0	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	0.014	8.1	6.2	--	--	220	22.6	7.2
MW-4	9/8/2016	3.58	7051.21	5.4	201	8.8	<0.1	0.66	<0.1	180	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	0.037	8.2	6.7	--	--	--	20.9	6.7
MW-4	10/20/2016	2.29	7052.50	6.1	169	8.5	0.17	0.31	<0.1	120	<b>230</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	7.3	5.5	--	--	--	18.4	5.9
MW-4	7/13/2017	2.36	7052.43	7.8	197	6.3	<0.4	<1	<1	140	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	7.9	4.0	--	--	200	19.4	6.5
MW-4	8/24/2017	2.82	7051.97	7.3	199	7.9	<0.4	<1	<1	140	<b>490</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<0.01	8.0	5.2	--	--	200	17.2	6.5
MW-4	9/28/2017	3.02	7051.77	6.0	201	9.4	<0.4	<1	<1	140	<b>13</b>	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.288</b>	8.0	5.9	--	--	200	20.6	6.3
MW-4	6/28/2018	2.60	7052.19	6.9	289	6.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	<b>206</b>	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	8/22/2018	3.45	7051.34	7.2	407	7.3	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	10/10/2018	4.41	7050.38	6.8	205	6.9	3	<1	<0.2	150	<1.8	<0.2	<b>1.4</b>	<b>0.086</b>	8.2	7.0	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	7/17/2019	2.50	7052.29	6.7	227	6.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	8/28/2019	3.15	7051.64	6.7	211	8.0	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	10/2/2019	3.15	7051.64	6.7	232	7.1	<0.2	<1	<0.02	130	<1.8	<0.2	0.21	<0.02	8.3	7.1	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	6/10/2020	1.80	7052.99	7.1	224	6.2	<0.2	<1	<0.2	130	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	8/12/2020	3.13	7051.66	6.8	223	8.3	<0.2	<1	<0.2	100	<1.8	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--
MW-4	10/14/2020	4.07	7050.72	6.9	215	7.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	140	<1.8	<0.2	3.1	<b>0.120</b>	9.0	6.3	--	--	--	--	--
MW-5	9/1/2004	12.95	7190.83	6.6	307	6.4	0.064	<1.0		276	<b>80</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	<b>1.280</b>	<b>0.200</b>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	--	--	--	--	--
MW-5	10/13/2004	13.74	7190.04	6.2	230	8.9	<0.1	2	<1	340	<b>500</b>	0.08	<0.02	<b>0.230</b>	18.0	28.0	--	--	--	--	--
MW-5	8/11/2005	11.74	7192.04	6.3	110	15.7	<0.1	2	<1	180	2	<0.03	<b>0.620</b>	0.060	6.0	5.5	51	4.2	120	1.0	4.4
MW-5	9/15/2005	12.50	7191.28	7.0	170	11.2	0.1	<1	<1	170	<2	<0.03	<b>0.750</b>	<b>0.130</b>	7.0	5.8	41	NS	120	12.0	4.6
MW-5	10/13/2005	9.27	7194.51	6.5	103	8.8	0.2	<1	<1	120	<b>11</b>	<0.03	0.210	0.040	6.0	8.5	133	8.5	110	8.9	3.9
MW-5	6/29/2006	12.50	7191.28	7.6	71	14.7	<0.1	<1	<1	120	<2	<0.03	0.280	0.050	4.0	4.1	159	6.5	81	5.8	2.5
MW-5	8/2/2006	11.49	7192.29	8.4	34	19.8	<0.1	<1	<1	120	<2	<0.03	0.090	0.040	8.0	8.0	98	5.0	98	6.4	2.5
MW-5	10/11/2006	11.89	7191.89	5.8	93	8.4	<0.1	1	<1	170	2	<0.03	<b>0.540</b>	<b>0.060</b>	6.0	3.6	186	5.7	110	12.0	4.6



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth To GW (ft)	GW Elev. (ft, NAVD88)	Field pH	Field EC (µS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo nia as N	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Lab SC (µS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)
MW-5	7/12/2007	13.10	7190.68	6.1	142	13.9											226	NS			
MW-5	8/29/2007	13.50	7190.28	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	9/26/2007	13.70	7190.08	6.7	88	11.6											-87	8.9			
MW-5	7/8/2008	13.00	7190.78	7.3	104	15.1											136	NS			
MW-5	9/18/2008	13.80	7189.98	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	10/16/2008	13.95	7189.83	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	7/7/2009	12.80	7190.98	6.7	214	11.0	0.3	<1	<1	230	<2	<0.03	<b>0.430</b>	<b>0.100</b>	10.0	4.8	818	8.1	130	11.0	3.8
MW-5	9/30/2009	13.30	7190.48	6.3	109	8.6	0.4	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.23	<0.02	0.050	22.0	5.3	141	4.6	130	9.9	3.4
MW-5	10/26/2009	13.25	7190.53	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	7/13/2010	11.50	7192.28	6.0	94	8.0	<0.1	<1	<1	200	2	<0.03	0.270	<b>0.060</b>	5.0	5.1	158	2.5	94	8.0	2.7
MW-5	8/24/2010	12.52	7191.26	6.7	95	11.0	<0.1	<1	<1	170	2	<0.03	<0.02	0.020	5.0	4.8	129	7.1	95	7.9	3.3
MW-5	11/4/2010	12.15	7191.63	6.1	98	7.4	<0.1	<1	<1	84	<b>23</b>	0.06	<0.02	0.020	6.0	5.5	209	6.5	98	7.5	3.0
MW-5	7/21/2011	9.15	7194.63	4.9	74	5.7	<0.1	<1	<1	100	<b>4</b>	<0.03	0.121	<b>0.072</b>	4.1	3.6	115	4.4	74	5.9	2.3
MW-5	9/8/2011	12.50	7191.28	6.5	101	8.0	<0.1	1	<1	150	<2	<0.03	<b>2.400</b>	<b>0.056</b>	5.1	4.0	102	5.7	100	8.2	4.0
MW-5	10/20/2011	11.58	7192.20	6.0	95	7.0	<0.1	<1	<1	150	<b>4.5</b>	<0.03	0.216	0.012	4.1	4.5	157	4.5	95	8.1	3.0
MW-5	6/26/2012	12.70	7191.08	6.9	26	7.1	0.1	<1	<1	130	IVS	0.074	0.039	<b>0.054</b>	6.9	8.2	58	15.3	120	9.5	3.9
MW-5	7/31/2012	11.87	7191.91	6.3	106	9.7	<0.2	<1	<1	120	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	0.037	4.8	4.7	231	5.3	110	10.2	3.7
MW-5	10/9/2012	14.64	7189.14	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	5/30/2013	13.20	7190.58	6.0	85	9.9	<0.2	<1	<1	140	IVS	<0.03	0.151	0.049	4.8	4.7	390	--	85	6.6	2.7
MW-5	8/21/2013	12.99	7190.79	6.0	40	21.8	<0.2	<1	<1	110	<1.8	<0.03	0.074	0.016	3.9	5.1	702	6.2	40	6.2	2.3
MW-5	10/15/2013	14.06	7189.72	8.1	91	10.2	<0.2	<1	<1	160	<1.8	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	10.1	11.0	694	11.6	91	6.8	2.6
MW-5	6/12/2014	13.11	7190.67	5.8	80	11.3	<0.2	<1	<1	240	<1.8	<0.03	0.046	<0.01	4.3	5.1	692	7.8	80	6.9	3.0
MW-5	8/12/2014	13.01	7190.77	5.6	111	3.3	<0.2	<1	<1	120	14	<0.03	0.284	<b>0.063</b>	5.4	6.0	279	3.3	110	10.1	3.9
MW-5	10/14/2014	14.23	7189.55	ed dry before sampling																	
MW-5	6/17/2015	13.19	7190.59	4.5	106	11.7	<0.2	<1	<1	150	<1.8	0.041	<0.02	<b>0.137</b>	4.8	6.4	418.2	6.9	110	8.7	3.5
MW-5	9/9/2015	12.44	7191.34	7.0	108	11.8	<0.2	<1	<1	220	IVS	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.078</b>	5.5	6.8	675.4	5.4	110	9.6	3.9
MW-5	11/12/2015	13.23	7190.55	6.6	108	7.7	<0.2	<1	<1	220	IVS	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.071</b>	4.2	6.7	200.1	--	110	9.5	3.7
MW-5	7/7/2016	12.05	7191.73	5.6	110	9.3	<0.2	<1	<1	130	<1.8	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.034</b>	6.5	6.3	--	--	110	15.5	5.1
MW-5	9/8/2016	13.26	7190.52	7.0	121	11.3											--	--	IVS		
MW-5	10/20/2016	12.56	7191.22	would not pump																	
MW-5	7/13/2017	13.24	7190.54	would not pump																	
MW-5	8/24/2017	12.83	7190.95	6.0	111	10.4	<0.4	<2	<2	120	<b>79</b>	<0.03	0.1	<b>0.074</b>	5.4	5.3	--	--	110	8.0	3.4
MW-5	9/28/2017	13.64	7190.14	6.9	108	6.7	<0.4	2	<1	120	2	<0.03	<0.03	<b>0.059</b>	4.9	5.2	--	--	110	8.6	3.3
MW-5	6/29/2018	10.70	7193.08	6.1	145	5.6	<0.2	<1	<0.2	<b>103</b>	<1.8						--	--			
MW-5	8/23/2018	13.13	7190.65	6.4	259	6.6	pumped dry														
MW-5	10/10/2018	13.96	7189.82	IVS																	
MW-5	7/18/2019	12.25	7191.53	5.9	97	7.4	<0.2	<1	<0.2	95	<1.8										
MW-5	8/29/2019	12.61	7191.17	6.2	372	7.5	pumped dry														
MW-5	10/3/2019	13.41	7190.37	IVS																	
MW-5	6/11/2020	11.68	7192.10	6.4	93	6.4	pumped dry														
MW-5	8/13/2020	12.63	7191.15	6.0	137	7.0	pumped dry														
MW-5	10/15/2020	14.11	7189.67	6.2	153	8.3	IVS														
MW-6	10/30/2002	6.45	7053.04	6.6			<0.050	NR <sup>1</sup>		376	<b>240</b>	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>335<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>6.89<sup>T</sup></b>	36 <sup>T</sup>	59.0					
MW-6	7/29/2003			7.1	457	7.5	<0.1	<0.5		260	<2	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>	NR <sup>3</sup>					
MW-6	11/13/2003	6.17	7053.32	7.0			<0.050*	NR <sup>1</sup>			<2	<0.10 <sup>T</sup>	<b>132<sup>T</sup></b>	<b>4.78<sup>T</sup></b>	18.5 <sup>T</sup>	6.9					



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	Depth To GW (ft)	GW Elev. (ft, NAVD88)	Field pH	Field EC (μS/cm)	Temp. (C)	NO3-N (mg/L)	TKN (mg/L)	Ammo nia as N (mg/L)	TDS (mg/L)	Total Coliform (MPN/100ml)	B (mg/L)	Fe (mg/L)	Mn (mg/L)	Na (mg/L)	Cl (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	Lab SC (μS/cm)	Ca (mg/L)	Mg (mg/L)	
MW-6	8/29/2019	4.75	7054.74	7.0	573	6.7	<0.2	<1	<0.2	310	<1.8											
MW-6	10/3/2019	6.01	7053.48	7.1	608	5.7	<0.2	<1	<0.2	320	<1.8	<0.2	<b>6.400</b>	<b>3.600</b>	17.0	7.8						
MW-6	6/11/2020	2.56	7056.93	7.0	434	5.5	<0.2	<1	<0.2	220	<1.8											
MW-6	8/13/2020	4.67	7054.82	6.9	504	6.7	<0.2	<1	<0.2	260	<1.8											
MW-6	10/15/2020	6.88	7052.61	6.9	563	6.9	<0.2	<1	<0.2	310	<1.8	<0.2	<b>3.100</b>	<b>3.600</b>	15.0	6.3						
Discharge Pump	8/11/2005						<0.1	12	8.5	120	<b>23</b>	<0.03	<b>0.630</b>	<b>0.200</b>	14.0	13.0			190	6.8	1.3	
Discharge Pump	9/15/2005						1.1	8	6.4	140	<b>&gt;16000</b>	0.06	<b>1.000</b>	0.050	23.0	17.0		0.3	250	7.5	1.8	
Discharge Pump	10/13/2005						1.7	13	11.0	150	<b>800</b>	0.06	<b>0.840</b>	0.040	24.0	20.0			290	6.8	1.7	
Discharge Pump	6/29/2006						<0.1	8	7.0	100	<b>8</b>	0.04	<b>2.600</b>	<b>0.500</b>	13.0	13.0			180	6.8	1.4	
Discharge Pump	8/2/2006						0.1	12	9.0	120	<2	0.05	<b>0.940</b>	<b>0.060</b>	18.0	17.0			230	7.1	4.5	
Discharge Pump	10/11/2006						0.7	12	10.0	100	<b>23</b>	0.06	<b>0.400</b>	0.050	23.0	16.0			150	8.1	2.0	
Discharge Pump	7/12/2007						<0.1	7	4.3	210	<b>500</b>	<0.03	<b>2.700</b>	<b>0.400</b>	17.0	12.0			170	7.2	1.5	
Discharge Pump	7/8/2008			7.3	225	20.0	<0.1	<1	<1	140	<b>22</b>	0.05	<b>1.800</b>	<b>0.460</b>	18.0	15.0	98	3.1	240	13.0	3.0	
Discharge Pump	9/18/2008			8.3	143	18.6	<0.1	<1	<1	230	<b>230</b>	0.07	<b>3.000</b>	<b>0.150</b>	25.0	22.0	219	8.8	230	3.2	0.6	
Discharge Pump	10/16/2008						0.5	4.97	<1	250	<b>1300</b>	0.08	<b>1.300</b>	<b>0.120</b>	34.0	21.0			320	16.0	3.4	
Discharge Pump	7/7/2009						<0.1	14.00	<1	180	<b>50</b>	0.05	<b>1.500</b>	<b>0.290</b>	23.0	19.0			300	12.0	2.1	
Treatment Pond	8/11/2005						<0.1	14	9.6	120	<b>&gt;3000</b>	0.04	0.170	0.020	20.0	3.1			76	7.3	1.7	
Treatment Pond	9/15/2005						2.1	10	8.1	130	<b>&gt;16000</b>	0.07	0.120	0.030	24.0	17.0		2.8	260	8.5	1.8	
Treatment Pond	10/13/2005						1.7	15	11.0	150	<b>2400</b>	0.05	0.210	<b>0.090</b>	22.0	20.0			290	9.8	2.4	
Treatment Pond	6/29/2006						0.1	9	8.0	91	<b>170</b>	0.03	0.290	0.040	22.0	10.0			180	6.1	1.3	
Treatment Pond	8/2/2006						0.1	13	9.0	130	<b>&gt;16000</b>	<0.03	<b>0.580</b>	0.040	18.0	13.0			230	<0.03	4.6	
Treatment Pond	10/11/2006						1.1	19	16.0	150	<b>16000</b>	0.09	<b>0.620</b>	0.030	30.0	17.0			340	10.0	2.2	
Treatment Pond	7/12/2007						0.2	18	11.6	240	<b>16000</b>	0.042	<b>0.550</b>	0.070	25.0	16.0			270	9.7	1.9	
Treatment Pond	7/8/2008			7.8	281	26.2	0.4	14	<1	180	<b>5000</b>	0.06	<b>0.470</b>	0.040	25.0	16.0	102	3.0	300	9.0	2.0	
Treatment Pond	9/18/2008			7.3	401	16.0	0.7	22	16.0	240	<b>16000</b>	0.08	<b>0.520</b>	0.060	35.0	20.0	213	7.8	420	11.0	2.0	
Treatment Pond	10/16/2008						1.4	23	<1	200	<b>9000</b>	0.08	<b>0.340</b>	0.020	36.0	21.0			400	12.0	2.3	
Treatment Pond	7/7/2009						1	12	<1	200	<b>9000</b>	0.04	<b>0.310</b>	0.050	20.0	14.0			250	9.9	1.8	
Bloods Creek Upstream	8/11/2005						<0.1	2	<1	86	170	<0.03	0.360	0.020	5.0	2.0			67	6.5	1.6	
Bloods Creek Upstream	6/20/2006						<0.1	<1	<1	46	<2	<0.03	<0.02	<0.01	1.0	1.2			34	3.1	0.7	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/12/2007						<0.1	<1	<1	69	14	<0.03	0.210	0.060	5.0	1.1			57	6.5	1.3	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/8/2008			7.2	66	24.6	<0.1	<1	<1	64	130	<0.03	0.170	0.020	5.0	1.8	204	5.8	51	5.0	1.0	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/7/2009						<0.1	<1	<1	100	500	<0.03	0.280	0.040	4.0	2.2			56	5.9	1.3	
Bloods Creek Downstream	8/11/2005						<0.1	2	<1	100	>16000	0.05	0.160	0.020	20.0	3.2			76	7.3	1.7	
Bloods Creek Downstream	6/20/2006						<0.1	<1	<1	84	17	<0.03	0.050	<0.01	1.0	1.4			40	3.7	0.9	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/12/2007						<0.1	<1	<1	110	>16000	<0.03	<b>0.340</b>	0.020	5.0	2.6			71	7.7	2.1	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/8/2008			7.3	61	25.0	<0.1	<1	<1	98	500	<0.03	0.220	<0.01	3.0	2.8	178	6.7	65	6.0	2.0	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/7/2009						<0.1	<1	<1	110	170	<0.03	0.290	<0.01	4.0	2.9			64	6.8	1.6	



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity					Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)
			HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)	y as CaCO3 (mg/L)			as CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)			
MW-1	6/11/2020													
MW-1	8/13/2020													
MW-1	10/15/2020													
MW-2	10/30/2002								NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.020	NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.50
MW-2	7/29/2003								4		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.7	<0.2
MW-2	11/13/2003								NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.050*	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.7	<0.50
MW-2	6/22/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.5	<0.50
MW-2	9/1/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.5	<0.50
MW-2	10/13/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	10.0	7.1	
MW-2	8/11/2005	1.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	<2		<0.1	2.0	6.9	
MW-2	9/15/2005	2.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	0.6	<2		<0.1	2.1	6.5	
MW-2	10/13/2005	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.5	
MW-2	6/29/2006	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	5.6	
MW-2	8/2/2006	2.0	20	24	<1	<1	20	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.1	
MW-2	10/10/2006	<1	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.0	
MW-2	7/12/2007	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	2		<0.1	0.7	6.8	
MW-2	8/29/2007	2.2	35	43	<1	<1	35	1.6	<2		<0.1	<1	7.0	
MW-2	9/26/2007	2.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	1.4	<2		<0.1	<1	6.7	
MW-2	7/8/2008	1.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.5	
MW-2	9/18/2008	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	0.6	<2		<0.1	3.2	6.9	
MW-2	10/16/2008	1.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	0.6	<2		<0.1	<1	7.0	
MW-2	7/7/2009	1.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	0.5	<2		<0.2	<1	7.0	
MW-2	9/30/2009	2.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.8	
MW-2	10/26/2009	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	800		<0.1	0.5	6.7	
MW-2	7/13/2010	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.1	
MW-2	8/24/2010	<1	22	27	<1	<1	22	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.3	
MW-2	11/4/2010	<1	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	4		<0.1	3.0	5.8	
MW-2	7/21/2011	0.8	22	27	<1	<1	22	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.2	
MW-2	9/8/2011	1.0	27	33	<1	<1	27	<0.5	<2		<0.1	2.0	6.4	
MW-2	10/20/2011	1.0	33	40	<1	<1	33	<0.5	<2		<0.1	1.0	6.1	
MW-2	6/26/2012	0.9	30	37	<1	<1	30	<0.5	<1.8	22.7	<0.1	2.0	6.2	
MW-2	7/31/2012	1.0	35	43	<1	<1	35	<0.5	11		<0.2	<1	6.3	
MW-2	10/9/2012	1.4	30	37	<1	<1	30	0.8	<1.8		<0.2	<1	5.9	
MW-2	5/30/2013	0.8	18	22	<1	<1	18	0.5	<1.8	16.5	<0.2	<1	6.1	
MW-2	8/21/2013	1.4	28	34	<1	<1	28	0.6	<1.8	21.8	<0.2	<1	5.2	
MW-2	10/15/2013	1.4	22	27	<1	<1	22	0.6	<1.8	17.8	<0.2	<1	5.6	
MW-2	6/12/2014	0.9	18	22	<1	<1	18	0.5	<1.8	16.3			5.9	
MW-2	8/12/2014	5.8	28	34	<1	<1	28	0.6	<1.8	20.9			6.7	
MW-2	10/14/2014	1.1	24	29	<1	<1	24	0.6	<1.8	26.5			5.9	
MW-2	6/17/2015	1.0	30	36	<1	<1	30	<0.5	<1.8					

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity as				Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)
			HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)			as CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)			
MW-2	9/9/2015	1.6	40	49	<1	<1	40	0.8	<1.8	19.5		6.9	
MW-2	11/13/2015	1.0	26	32	<1	<1	26	<0.5	2	22.5		6.1	
MW-2	7/7/2016	0.9	30	37	<1	<1	30	<0.5	<1.8	19.2			
MW-2	9/8/2016	1.1	34	41	<10	<10	34	<0.5	<1.8	--			
MW-2	10/20/2016	1.1	28	34	<10	<10	28	<0.5	170	--			
MW-2	7/13/2017	1.0	16	19	<1	<1	16	<0.5	<1.8	--			
MW-2	8/24/2017	1.2	22	27	<1	<1	22	<0.5	<1.8	--			
MW-2	9/28/2017	1.1	28	34	<1	<1	28	<0.5	<1.8	22.5			
MW-2	6/28/2018												
MW-2	8/22/2018												
MW-2	10/10/2018												
MW-2	7/17/2019												
MW-2	8/28/2019												
MW-2	10/2/2019												
MW-2	6/10/2020												
MW-2	8/12/2020												
MW-2	10/14/2020												
MW-3	10/30/2002								NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.020	NR <sup>2</sup>	<0.50
MW-3	7/29/2003								80		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.6
MW-3	11/13/2003								NR <sup>2</sup>		0.06*	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.0
MW-3	6/22/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.0
MW-3	9/1/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.2
MW-3	10/13/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	0.3	6.7
MW-3	8/11/2005	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.9	<2		<0.1	<1	6.2
MW-3	9/15/2005	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	1.4	8		<0.1	<1	5.9
MW-3	10/13/2005	2.0	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.8	<2		<0.1	2.4	6.1
MW-3	6/29/2006	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.3	<2		<0.1	<1	5.5
MW-3	8/2/2006	2.0	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.3	<2		<0.1	0.2	5.7
MW-3	10/10/2006	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.5	<2		<0.1	2.0	5.4
MW-3	7/12/2007	2.0	28	34	<1	<1	28	1.1	<2		<0.1	0.2	6.5
MW-3	8/29/2007	1.7	25	30	<1	<1	25	1.6	4		<0.1	<1	6.3
MW-3	9/26/2007	2.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	0.5	2		<0.1	<1	6.3
MW-3	7/8/2008	1.0	35	43	<1	<1	35	1.2	<2		<0.1	<1	6.3
MW-3	9/18/2008	2.0	20	24	<1	<1	20	2.0	<2		<0.1	<1	6.2
MW-3	10/16/2008	2.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	2.1	<2		<0.1	0.15	6.2
MW-3	7/7/2009	1.0	20	24	<1	<1	20	3.5	<2		<0.2	<1	6.5
MW-3	9/30/2009	3.0	40	49	<1	<1	40	3.2	<2		<0.1	<1	6.0
MW-3	10/26/2009	2.0	15	18	<1	<1	15	3.3	4		<0.1	0.90	6.4
MW-3	7/13/2010	<1	20	24	<1	<1	20	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.1
MW-3	8/24/2010	<1	27	33	<1	<1	27	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	5.8
MW-3	11/4/2010	<1	25	30	<1	<1	25	<0.5	26		<0.1	3.00	5.6
MW-3	7/21/2011	0.9	16	20	<1	<1	16	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.2

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity as				Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness as		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)
			HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)			CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)			
MW-3	9/8/2011	1.1	22	27	<1	<1	22	<0.5	<2		<0.1	2.00	6.1
MW-3	10/20/2011	1.2	27	33	<1	<1	27	<0.5	11		<0.1	1.00	6.1
MW-3	6/26/2012	1.3	30	37	<1	<1	30	1.3	<1.8	22.1	<0.1	<1	6.0
MW-3	7/31/2012	1.4	35	43	<1	<1	35	1.2	<1.8		<0.2	<1	6.0
MW-3	10/9/2012	1.3	35	43	<1	<1	35	1.5	<1.8		<0.2	<1	5.7
MW-3	5/30/2013	0.9	20	24	<1	<1	20	2.3	<1.8	15.7	<0.2	<1	5.9
MW-3	8/21/2013	1.1	18	22	<1	<1	18	1.3	<1.8	18.7	<0.2	<1	4.2
MW-3	10/15/2013	1.5	32	39	<1	<1	32	1.4	<1.8	21.8	<0.2	<1	5.4
MW-3	6/12/2014	1.1	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.3	<1.8	15.3			5.7
MW-3	8/12/2014	4.6	20	24	<1	<1	20	1.2	<1.8	19.4			5.6
MW-3	10/14/2014	1.2	22	27	<1	<1	22	1.3	<1.8	20.5			5.5
MW-3	6/17/2015	1.3	28	34	<1	<1	28	1.3	<1.8				
MW-3	9/9/2015	1.6	42	51	<1	<1	42	1.4	7.8	18.7			7.4
MW-3	11/13/2015	1.1	24	29	<1	<1	24	1.3	<1.8	19.1			
MW-3	7/7/2016	1.1	30	37	<1	<1	30	1.1	<1.8	21.7			
MW-3	9/8/2016	1.4	26	32	<10	<10	26	1.2	49	--			
MW-3	10/20/2016	1.5	27	33	<10	<10	27	1.0	<1.8	--			
MW-3	7/13/2017	1.1	50	61	<1	<1	50	1.2	<1.8	--			
MW-3	8/24/2017	1.6	38	46	<1	<1	38	1.0	<1.8	--			
MW-3	9/28/2017	1.5	40	49	<1	<1	40	1.1	<1.8	24.2			
MW-3	6/28/2018												
MW-3	8/22/2018												
MW-3	10/10/2018												
MW-3	7/17/2019												
MW-3	8/28/2019												
MW-3	10/2/2019												
MW-3	6/10/2020												
MW-3	8/12/2020												
MW-3	10/14/2020												
<hr/>													
MW-4	10/30/2002								NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.020	NR <sup>2</sup>	<0.50
MW-4	7/29/2003								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.5
MW-4	11/13/2003								NR <sup>2</sup>		0.05*	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.9
MW-4	6/22/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.8
MW-4	9/1/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.9
MW-4	10/13/2004								<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	<1.1	7.1
MW-4	8/11/2005	3.0	96	117	<1	<1	96	5.7	<2		<0.1	<1	6.9
MW-4	9/15/2005	5.0	100	122	<1	<1	100	5.8	<2		<0.1	0.1	6.6
MW-4	10/13/2005	4.0	110	134	<1	<1	110	5.1	<2		<0.1	1.2	6.8
MW-4	6/29/2006	2.0	90	110	<1	<1	90	4.1	<2		<0.1	0.1	6.2
MW-4	8/2/2006	5.0	85	102	<1	<1	85	6.2	<2		<0.1	<1	6.7
MW-4	10/10/2006	<1	85	104	<1	<1	85	6.0	<2		<0.1	1.0	6.8
MW-4	7/12/2007	4.0	87	106	<1	<1	87	6.7	<2		<0.1	0.1	6.8
MW-4	8/29/2007	4.1	91	111	<1	<1	91	6.9	<2		<0.1	<1	7.2
MW-4	9/26/2007	4.0	86	105	<1	<1	86	10.0	<2		<0.1	<1	7.0
MW-4	7/8/2008	4.0	86	105	<1	<1	86	5.8	<2		<0.1	<1	7.0

**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity as				Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)	
			HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)			as CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)				
MW-4	9/18/2008	4.0	85	104	<1	<1	85	6.2	<2	<0.1	<1	6.9		
MW-4	10/16/2008	4.0	90	109	<1	<1	90	5.9	<2	<0.1	<0.1	6.9		
MW-4	7/7/2009	4.0	95	116	<1	<1	95	7.0	<2	<0.2	2.4	7.1		
MW-4	9/30/2009	4.0	80	98	<1	<	80	6.3	<2	<0.1	<1	6.8		
MW-4	10/26/2009	3.0	90	110	<1	<1	90	5.4	13	<0.1	0.3	7.1		
MW-4	7/13/2010	4.0	100	122	<1	<1	100	5.2	<2	<0.1	<1	6.6		
MW-4	8/24/2010	3.0	82	100	<1	<1	82	5.6	<2	<0.1	<1	6.4		
MW-4	11/4/2010	3.0	75	91	<1	<1	75	6.8	13	<0.1	<1	6.5		
MW-4	7/21/2011	3.7	92	112	<1	<1	92	4.1	<2	<0.1	<1	6.9		
MW-4	9/8/2011	3.1	87	106	<1	<1	87	5.0	<2	<0.1	1.0	6.9		
MW-4	10/20/2011	3.2	70	85	<1	<1	70	7.3	<2	<0.1	<1	7.0		
MW-4	6/26/2012	3.4	89	108	<1	<1	89	7.0	<1.8	86.4	<0.1	<1	8.0	
MW-4	7/31/2012	3.4	84	102	<1	<1	84	6.6	<1.8		<0.2	2.0	6.6	
MW-4	10/9/2012	3.2	75	91	<1	<1	75	6.8	<1.8		<0.2	<1	5.8	
MW-4	5/30/2013	3.5	86	105	<1	<1	86	6.1	<1.8	81.7	<0.2	<1	6.4	
MW-4	8/21/2013	3.4	89	109	<1	<1	89	6.7	<1.8	72.9	<0.2	<1	6.5	
MW-4	10/15/2013	4.0	91	111	<1	<1	91	6.7	<1.8	81.2	<0.2	<1	6.6	
MW-4	6/12/2014	4.1	89	109	<1	<1	89	5.9	<1.8	91.2			5.6	
MW-4	8/12/2014	4.0	92	112	<1	<1	92	6.0	<1.8	82.8			6.9	
MW-4	10/14/2014	3.2	78	95	<1	<1	78	6.5	<1.8	75.2			6.7	
MW-4	6/17/2015	3.4	86	105	<1	<1	86	6.0	<1.8					
MW-4	9/9/2015	3.9	110	134	<1	<1	110	6.3	<1.8	77.4			6.7	
MW-4	11/13/2015	11.4	78	95	<1	<1	78	5.3	<1.8	69.5			6.8	
MW-4	7/7/2016	3.6	22	27	<1	<1	22	4.8	<1.8	85.9				
MW-4	9/8/2016	3.4	92	112	<10	<10	92	5.4	<1.8	--				
MW-4	10/20/2016	3.4	75	91	<10	<10	75	4.8	7.8	--				
MW-4	7/13/2017	3.3	86	104	<1	<1	86	4.2	<1.8	--				
MW-4	8/24/2017	3.7	240	292	<1	<1	240	5.8	130	--				
MW-4	9/28/2017	3.3	90	110	<1	<1	90	5.4	13	77.4				
MW-4	6/28/2018													
MW-4	8/22/2018													
MW-4	10/10/2018													
MW-4	7/17/2019													
MW-4	8/28/2019													
MW-4	10/2/2019													
MW-4	6/10/2020													
MW-4	8/12/2020													
MW-4	10/14/2020													
MW-5	9/1/2004								17	NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.6	<0.50	
MW-5	10/13/2004								2	NR <sup>2</sup>	2.0	6.8		
MW-5	8/11/2005	1.0	45	55	<1	<1	45	1.8	<2	<0.1	2.0	6.2		
MW-5	9/15/2005	3.0	51	62	<1	<1	51	2.0	<2	<0.1	0.1	7.6		
MW-5	10/13/2005	3.0	35	43	<1	<1	35	1.3	<2	<0.1	0.2	6.1		
MW-5	6/29/2006	2.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	0.7	<2	<0.1	<1	5.4		
MW-5	8/2/2006	3.0	35	42	<1	<1	35	1.0	<2	<0.1	<1	6.1		
MW-5	10/11/2006	<1	45	55	<1	<1	45	1.7	<2	<0.1	1.0	6.0		



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)	Total Alkalinit y as CaCO3 (mg/L)	Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)
										as CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)			
MW-5	7/12/2007													Well pumped dry
MW-5	8/29/2007													
MW-5	9/26/2007													Well pumped dry
MW-5	7/8/2008													Well pumped dry
MW-5	9/18/2008													
MW-5	10/16/2008													
MW-5	7/7/2009	2.0	45	55	<1	<1	45	2.7	<2		<0.2	<1	6.5	
MW-5	9/30/2009	2.0	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	2.5	NS		0.2	NS	7.5	well pun
MW-5	10/26/2009													
MW-5	7/13/2010	3.0	35	43	<1	<1	35	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.0	
MW-5	8/24/2010	1.0	37	45	<1	<1	37	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.7	
MW-5	11/4/2010	2.0	41	50	<1	<1	41	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	6.1	
MW-5	7/21/2011	1.9	27	33	<1	<1	27	<0.5	<2		<0.1	<1	4.9	
MW-5	9/8/2011	2.2	43	52	<1	<1	43	<0.5	<2		<0.1	1.0	6.5	
MW-5	10/20/2011	2.2	38	46	<1	<1	38	1.7	<2		<0.1	<1	6.0	
MW-5	6/26/2012	4.6	39	48	<1	<1	39	1.0	IVS	39.8	<0.1	0.1	6.9	
MW-5	7/31/2012	2.4	39	48	<1	<1	39	2.1	<1.8		<0.2	<1	6.3	
MW-5	10/9/2012													
MW-5	5/30/2013	1.5	38	46	<1	<1	38	0.9	IVS	27.6	<0.2	<1	6.0	well pun
MW-5	8/21/2013	1.7	26	32	<1	<1	26	0.8	<1.8	25.2	<0.2	<1	6.0	
MW-5	10/15/2013	2.4	33	40	<1	<1	33	2.7	<1.8	27.3	<0.2	<1	8.1	
MW-5	6/12/2014	2.4	36	44	<1	<1	36	1.0	<1.8	29.8			5.8	
MW-5	8/12/2014	3.2	46	56	<1	<1	46	1.2	<1.8	41.1			5.6	
MW-5	10/14/2014													
MW-5	6/17/2015	2.6	42	51	<1	<1	42	1.1	<1.8					
MW-5	9/9/2015	3.3	46	56	<1	<1	46	1.2	IVS	39.9			7.0	
MW-5	11/12/2015	1.4	42	51	<1	<1	42	1.0	IVS	39.1				
MW-5	7/7/2016	3.2	46	56	<1	<1	46	1.0	<1.8	59.5				
MW-5	9/8/2016													
MW-5	10/20/2016													
MW-5	7/13/2017													
MW-5	8/24/2017	3.2	58	71	<1	<1	58	1.0	<1.8	--				
MW-5	9/28/2017	2.9	34	41	<1	<1	34	1.0	<1.8	34.8				
MW-5	6/29/2018													
MW-5	8/23/2018													
MW-5	10/10/2018													
MW-5	7/18/2019													
MW-5	8/29/2019													
MW-5	10/3/2019													
MW-5	6/11/2020													
MW-5	8/13/2020													
MW-5	10/15/2020													
MW-6	10/30/2002									NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.020	NR <sup>2</sup>	<0.50
MW-6	7/29/2003									<2		NR <sup>2</sup>	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.5 <0.2
MW-6	11/13/2003									NR <sup>2</sup>		<0.050*	NR <sup>2</sup>	6.7 **



**Bear Valley Water District**  
Historic Groundwater Quality

Well	Date	K (mg/L)	Total Alkalinity as				Sulfate (mg/L)	Fecal Coliform (MPN/100ml)	Hardness		***Total Nitrogen (mg/L)	Lab pH (std units)	Ammonia as NH3 (mg/L)
			HCO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	HCO3 as HCO3 (mg/L)	CO3 as CaCO3 (mg/L)	OH as CaCO3 (mg/L)			as CaCO3 (mg/l)	NO2-N (mg/L)			
MW-6	8/29/2019												
MW-6	10/3/2019												
MW-6	6/11/2020												
MW-6	8/13/2020												
MW-6	10/15/2020												
Discharge Pump	8/11/2005	3.0	40	49	<1	<1	40	3.6	<2	<0.1	12.0	6.7	
Discharge Pump	9/15/2005	5.0	61	74	<1	<1	61	6.1	1700	0.8	9.9	6.9	
Discharge Pump	10/13/2005	6.0	76	93	<1	<1	76	7.2	22	0.3	15.0	7.2	
Discharge Pump	6/29/2006	7.0	55	67	<1	<1	55	3.4	<2	<0.1	8.0	6.4	
Discharge Pump	8/2/2006	6.0	70	85	<1	<1	70	4.2	<2	<0.1	12.1	6.9	
Discharge Pump	10/11/2006	<1	70	85	<1	<1	70	5.6	4	0.1	12.8	7.1	
Discharge Pump	7/12/2007	6.0	52.8	64	<1	<1	52.8	3.6	2	<0.1	6.5	7.2	
Discharge Pump	7/8/2008	6.0	75	91	<1	<1	75	4.9	6	<0.1	<1	7.3	
Discharge Pump	9/18/2008	5.0	28	34	<1	<1	28	6.7	30	<0.1	<1	8.9	
Discharge Pump	10/16/2008	7.0	15	18	<1	<1	15	85.0	13	<0.1	5.5	7.9	
Discharge Pump	7/7/2009	6.0	95	116	<1	<1	95	7.3	11	<0.2	14.0	7.2	
Treatment Pond	8/11/2005	5.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	1.0	1300	<0.1	14.0	8.5	
Treatment Pond	9/15/2005	6.0	66	81	<1	<1	66	6.1	>3000	0.8	12.9	7.1	
Treatment Pond	10/13/2005	6.0	76	93	<1	<1	76	7.2	1300	0.3	17.0	7.3	
Treatment Pond	6/29/2006	5.0	55	67	<1	<1	55	3.7	17	<0.1	9.1	6.9	
Treatment Pond	8/2/2006	5.0	75	91	<1	<1	75	4.2	700	0.1	13.2	7.2	
Treatment Pond	10/11/2006	<1	110	134	<1	<1	110	6.7	2800	0.2	20.3	7.3	
Treatment Pond	7/12/2007	8.0	90.8	111	<1	<1	90.8	4.4	1100	0.1	18.4	7.6	
Treatment Pond	7/8/2008	7.0	50	61	<1	<1	50	5.4	30	0.2	14.6	7.8	
Treatment Pond	9/18/2008	10.0	190	231	<1	<1	190	6.8	16000	0.4	23.1	8.0	
Treatment Pond	10/16/2008	10.0	130	159	<1	<1	130	7.7	2400	0.1	24.5	7.6	
Treatment Pond	7/7/2009	6.0	75	91	<1	<1	75	6.8	700	0.7	13.7	7.9	
Bloods Creek Upstream	8/11/2005	<1	30	37	<1	<1	30	0.5	80	<0.1	2.0	7.0	
Bloods Creek Upstream	6/20/2006	<1	10	12	<1	<1	10	<0.5	<2	<0.1	<1	6.3	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/12/2007	2.0	25.6	31	<1	<1	25.6	0.5	8	<0.1	<1	7.0	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/8/2008	2.0	24	29	<1	<1	24	<0.5	13	<0.1	<1	7.1	
Bloods Creek Upstream	7/7/2009	1.0	15	18	<1	<1	15	2.1	50	<0.2	<1	6.8	
Bloods Creek Downstream	8/11/2005	6.0	81	99	<1	<1	81	1.0	130	<0.1	2.0	6.8	
Bloods Creek Downstream	6/20/2006	<1	15	18	<1	<1	15	<0.5	2	<0.1	<1	6.3	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/12/2007	6.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	0.7	50	<0.1	<1	6.9	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/8/2008	1.0	25	30	<1	<1	25	0.6	130	<0.1	<1	7.1	
Bloods Creek Downstream	7/7/2009	1.0	30	37	<1	<1	30	2.2	13	<0.2	<1	7.2	